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#### Abstract

Red Midcourse Space Experiment (MSX) Sources (RMSs) are regarded as excellent candidates of massive starforming regions. In order to characterize the chemical properties of massive star formation, we made a systematic study of 87 RMSs in the southern sky, using archival data taken from the Atacama Pathfinder Experiment Telescope Large Area Survey of the Galaxy (ATLASGAL), the Australia Telescope Compact Array, and the Millimetre Astronomy Legacy Team Survey at 90 GHz (MALT90). According to previous multiwavelength observations, our sample could be divided into two groups: massive young stellar objects and H II regions. Combined with the MALT90 data, we calculated the column densities of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, and HNC and found that they are not much different from previous studies made in other massive star-forming regions. However, their abundances are relatively low compared to infrared dark clouds (IRDCs). The abundances of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$and HNC in our sample are at least 1 mag lower than those found in IRDCs, indicating chemical depletions in the relatively hot gas. Besides, the fractional abundances of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ seem to decrease as a function of their Lyman continuum fluxes $\left(N_{L}\right)$, indicating that these molecules could be destroyed by UV photons when H iI regions have formed inside. We also find that the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ abundance decreases faster than $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ with respect to $N_{L}$. The abundance of HNC has a tight correlation with that of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$, indicating that it may be also preferentially formed in cold gas. We regard our RMSs as being in a relatively late evolutionary stage of massive star formation.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of massive stars is important to astrophysics. They dominate the luminosity of galaxies. Their feedback to the environment will have a profound effect on the physical and chemical evolutions of the surrounding interstellar medium and may even trigger the next generation of star formation that will take place therein (e.g., Yu et al. 2014). At the end of their short life, they release heavy elements into cosmic space in the form of supernova explosions, which will have an immense impact on the evolution of galaxies. In the past two decades, a lot of progress has been made in both theories and observations of star formation. However, massive star formation is still poorly understood compared with their low-mass counterparts. One reason is that they are rare and evolve quickly. The other reason is that they used to form in dense clusters at far distances, which makes it hard to study them individually. According to the review by Zinnecher \& Yorke (2007), the processes of massive star formation could roughly be divided into four different observable stages. The first stage is the prestellar phase that can be found in infrared dark clouds (IRDCs; e.g., Perault et al. 1996; Egan et al. 1998; Peretto \& Fuller 2009). The typical temperature of this stage is about 10 K , as no stars have formed inside. The next stage is the "hot core" phase, with various complex organic molecular emissions inside and a typical temperature of $\sim 100 \mathrm{~K}$ (e.g., Cesaroni et al. 1992, 2010). The third stage is hypercompact and ultracompact H II regions, and the final stage is compact and classical H II regions.

It is also important to understand chemical processes that may occur during all stages of massive star formation. In the early stages of massive star formation, the gas is cold and diffuse. Thus, the chemistry is dominated by low-temperature gas-phase reactions, leading to the formation of small radicals
and unsaturated molecules (e.g., Herbst \& Klemperer 1972). During the gas collapse phase, the density of gas becomes so high and the temperature so low that many atoms and molecules are absorbed onto the dust grains, forming various kinds of icy mantles (e.g., Shematovich 2012). Many complex reactions could take place between these mantles. These kind of grain-surface chemical processes are greatly important to some organic species. For example, both observations and chemical models indicate that methanol is mainly formed through grain-surface reactions, as the production of methanol is ineffective by gas-phase reactions (Wirstrom et al. 2011). The dust works as a catalyst in these reactions. In the hot core stage, a massive young stellar object (MYSO) has just formed inside. Its radiation quickly heats up the surrounding gas and dust. Icy mantles on the dust evaporate back into the gas phase. Warm gas-phase chemistry between these fresh materials becomes important in both the formation and destruction of many complex organic molecules (e.g., Belloche et al. 2008; Qin et al. 2011). In the H II regions, intense UV radiations are emitted from the central $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{B} \operatorname{star}(\mathrm{s})$, leading to the destruction and/or production of many species (e.g., Fuente et al. 1993; Yu \& Wang 2015).

In order to study massive star formation, we first need a large sample of massive star-forming candidates. By comparing the colors of Midcourse Space Experiment (MSX) and Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) point sources to those already-known MYSOs, Lumsden et al. $(2002,2013)$ selected about 2000 MYSO candidates. This is known as the Red MSX Source (RMS) survey. The RMS survey is still an ongoing project. Follow-up infrared and radio observations indicate that they are indeed excellent candidates of massive star-forming regions (e.g., Urquhart et al. 2007; Yu \& Wang 2014). However, chemical properties of RMSs are still less studied. What is the chemistry in RMSs? Are they similar to other massive star-
forming regions like IRDCs and/or extended green objects (EGOs)? With the aim of better understanding the chemical evolution of massive star formation, we have studied the chemical properties of 87 RMSs and compared them with previous observations of other massive star-forming regions in this paper.

## 2. DATA AND SOURCE SELECTIONS

Our molecular line data come from the Millimetre Astronomy Legacy Team Survey at 90 GHz (MALT90), which is an international project aimed at characterizing the sites within our Galaxy where massive star formation will take place (e.g., Foster et al. 2011; Jackson et al. 2013). This project is performed with Mopra, a 22 m single-dish radio telescope located near Coonabarabran in New South Wales, Australia. By splitting the Mopra Spectrometer (MOPS) into 16 zoom bands of 138 MHz each, Mopra could map 16 molecular lines simultaneously with a velocity resolution of $\sim 0.11 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at frequencies near 90 GHz . The critical densities of these molecular lines range from $2 \times 10^{5}$ to $3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (see Table 1 in Rathborne et al. 2014). These lines will help us to probe the physical, chemical, and evolutionary states of dense high-mass star-forming clumps. The angular resolution of Mopra is $38^{\prime \prime}$, with a beam efficiency between 0.49 at 86 GHz and 0.42 at 115 GHz (Ladd et al. 2005). The pointing accuracy of the main MALT90 maps is about $8^{\prime \prime}$, and the absolute flux uncertainty is in the range of $10 \%-17 \%$ depending on the transition in question (Foster et al. 2013). The target clumps of this survey are selected from the $870 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX) Telescope Large Area Survey of the Galaxy (ATLASGAL; Schuller et al. 2009; Contreras et al. 2013), with Galactic longitude range of $\sim-60^{\circ}$ to $\sim 15^{\circ}$ and Galactic latitude range of $-1^{\circ}$ to $+1^{\circ}$. The MALT90 data are originally stored in RPFITS format. Using software packages of CLASS (Continuum and Line Analysis SingleDisk Software) and GREG (Grenoble Graphic), we conducted the data. The data files are publicly available and can be downloaded from the MALT90 Home Page. ${ }^{1}$

Using Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA), Urquhart et al. (2007) observed radio emissions of 826 RMSs in the southern sky. This program divided their sources into three groups: MYSO candidates, H II regions, and others such as evolved stars and planetary nebulae. Our sources are selected from this sample. Considering that radio interferometers may have difficulty imaging extended emissions in the Galactic plane, we also have checked our sources with the data taken from the Sydney University Molonglo Sky Survey (SUMSS) carried out at 843 MHz with the Molonglo Observatory Synthesis Telescope (MOST; Mauch et al. 2003). In our sample, sources are regarded as MYSO candidates if no radio emissions have been detected by ATCA and MOST; otherwise, they are regarded as H II regions. We should mention here that even though many RMSs are radio-quiet in the observations of ATCA and MOST, they are still regarded as HiI region candidates in the RMS survey database ${ }^{2}$ because their positions in the color-color diagrams are consistent with already-known H II regions. These sources may be real MYSOs or H II regions in which their radio emissions are too weak to be detected. Deeper and higher spatial resolution observations should be

[^0]carried out in the future to find out whether $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions have formed inside these sources. In order to make our sample unambiguous, these sources are not involved in our study. Besides, given the large beam size of Mopra, the effective diameter of our RMS clumps given by Contreras et al. (2013) should be larger than $38^{\prime \prime}$ and far from known bubbles or H II regions. Finally, we found 87 RMSs ( 28 MYSOs and 59 H II regions) having molecular data in MALT90. Our source selection criteria could be summarized as follows: (i) Sources should be relatively isolated and be detected by MALT90. (ii) The effective diameter of an RMS clump should be larger than $38^{\prime \prime}$. (iii) For MYSOs, they should be radio-quiet and have similar near- and mid-IR colors to already-known MYSOs. (iv) For H IIregions, radio emissions should have been detected by ATCA and/or MOST and have similar near- and mid-IR colors to already-known H II regions. The basic information of our sources is listed in Table 1.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. Dust Temperature and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ Column Densities

Dust temperature $\left(T_{d}\right)$ is essential in the study of chemical evolution in star formation regions. When $T_{d}$ is below $\sim 20 \mathrm{~K}$, carbon species like CO and CS can be depleted in the cold gas. Derived from adjusting single-temperature dust emission models to the far-infrared intensity maps measured between 160 and $870 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the Herschel and APEX sky surveys, Guzmán et al. (2015) recently have calculated the dust temperatures and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column densities for $\sim 3000$ MALT90 clumps. The FWHM of these data differs from $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $35^{\prime \prime}$ (the data from the PACS $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ band are excluded). To make an adequate comparison, they convolved all images to a spatial resolution of $35^{\prime \prime}$, which is the lowest resolution given by the $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ SPIRE instrument. We used these dust temperatures and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column densities derived by Guzmán et al. (2015) in our study due to the similar beam resolution of Mopra ( $355^{\prime \prime}$ versus $38^{\prime \prime}$ ). These values are also listed in Table 1 (columns (3) and (5)), and the dust temperature distributions are shown in Figure 1. The mean dust temperature of our RMSs is 26.4 K . This is consistent with many other observations of MYSOs and H II regions (e.g., Hennemann et al. 2009; Sreenilayam \& Fich 2011). However, our values are higher than those found in IRDCs (e.g., Vasyunina et al. 2011; Hoq et al. 2013), indicating that our sources are relatively more evolved. From Figure 1, it can also be noted that in our two subgroups, H II regions are warmer than MYSOs on average. A KolmogorovSmirnov (K-S) test gives a probability of less than $0.01 \%$ that these two groups originate from the same parent population. Typically, the difference will be regarded as significant when the K-S test gives a percentage lower than $5 \%$. It is reasonable that gas and dust will get warmer as the central star(s) evolves.

Urquhart et al. (2007) have completed the 4.8 and 8.6 GHz observations of 826 RMS sources in the southern sky using observations of ATCA. We use these data to estimate the Lyman continuum fluxes of our H II regions. Assuming an electron temperature of $T_{e}=10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$, the number of UV ionizing photons needed to keep an $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region ionized could be given as (Chaisson 1976; Guzmán et al. 2012)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{L}=7.6 \times 10^{46}\left(\frac{S_{\nu}}{\mathrm{Jy}}\right)\left(\frac{D}{\mathrm{kpc}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\nu}{\mathrm{GHz}}\right)^{0.1}\left(\frac{T_{e}}{10^{4} \mathrm{~K}}\right)^{-0.45} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1
List of Our Sources

| RMS <br> Name | $\begin{gathered} D^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T_{d}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & (\mathrm{~K}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \log \left(N_{L}\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{S}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \left(\times 10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H II regions |  |  |  |  |
| G300.5047-00.1745 | 8.9 | 27.2 (0.7) | $\ldots$ | 7.85 (0.36) |
| G301.1364-00.2249 | 4.3 | 29.0 (1.0) | 47.31 | 44.12 (3.09) |
| G301.8147+00.7808 | 4.4 | 29.0 (3.0) | 46.30 | 3.12 (0.37) |
| G302.0319-00.0613 | 4.5 | 34.0 (1.0) | ... | 4.51 (0.32) |
| G302.4867-00.0308 | 4.5 | 27.0 (4.0) | 46.12 | 4.41 (0.61) |
| G307.5606-00.5871 | 7.4 | 27.1 (0.7) | ... | 13.32 (0.62) |
| G307.6138-00.2559 | 7.0 | 29.0 (1.0) |  | 5.68 (0.40) |
| G309.9206 + 00.4790 | 5.4 | 33.0 (2.0) | 47.40 | 12.43 (1.11) |
| G310.1420 +00.7583 | 5.4 | 29.0 (1.0) | $\ldots$ | 10.34 (0.72) |
| G311.6264 +00.2897 | 7.3 | 31.0 (1.0) | 47.40 | 13.63 (0.95) |
| G316.1386-00.5009 | 7.7 | 27.0 (1.0) | $\ldots$ | 7.32 (0.51) |
| G317.4298-00.5612 | 14.2 | 34.0 (2.0) | 46.65 | 3.93 (0.35) |
| G318.9148-00.1647 | 11.0 | 34.0 (2.0) | 48.73 | 6.68 (0.80) |
| G320.7779 +00.2412 | 12.3 | 25.0 (2.0) | 46.72 | 3.50 (0.42) |
| G321.7209 +01.1711 | 2.8 | 27.0 (3.0) | ... | 11.08 (1.32) |
| G323.4468 +00.0968 | 4.1 | 24.0 (0.6) | $\ldots$ | 4.95 (0.35) |
| G324.1997 +00.1192 | 6.8 | 36.0 (2.0) | 48.32 | 15.65 (1.40) |
| G326.4477-00.7485 | 4.0 | 24.0 (1.0) | 45.41 | 7.67 (0.54) |
| G326.4719-00.3777 | 3.4 | 28.0 (1.0) | 47.02 | 10.83 (0.76) |
| G326.7249 +00.6159 | 1.8 | 31.0 (1.0) | 47.09 | 15.65 (1.10) |
| G328.3067 +00.4308 | 5.1 | 40.0 (1.0) | ... | 13.32 (0.93) |
| G328.8074 +00.6324 | 2.8 | 25.0 (2.0) | ... | 58.16 (5.23) |
| G328.9580 +00.5671 | 7.2 | 26.0 (1.0) | 45.51 | 7.15 (0.50) |
| G329.3371 +00.1469 | 7.2 | 38.0 (1.0) | $\ldots$ | 12.43 (0.87) |
| G329.4055-00.4574 | 4.3 | 27.0 (2.0) | $\ldots$ | 14.95 (1.79) |
| G329.4211-00.1631 | 4.5 | 25.0 (2.0) | $\ldots$ | 6.68 (0.60) |
| G329.4761 +00.8414 | 4.8 | 30.0 (0.8) | 46.77 | 3.05 (0.14) |
| G329.8145+00.1411 | 4.9 | 23.0 (0.6) | 45.27 | 6.83 (0.48) |
| G330.2845+00.4933 | 5.3 | 25.0 (1.0) | 46.40 | 4.73 (0.33) |
| G330.2935-00.3946 | 10.0 | 32.0 (1.0) | 48.18 | 10.83 (0.76) |
| G330.8845-00.3721 | 3.8 | 28.0 (1.0) | 47.22 | 39.32 (2.75) |
| G330.9544-00.1817 | 9.6 | 37.0 (1.0) | 48.63 | 66.77 (4.67) |
| G331.1282-00.2436 | 4.9 | 24.4 (0.6) | ... | 31.96 (1.50) |
| G331.4181-00.3546 | 3.9 | 23.0 (5.0) | 46.54 | 9.22 (0.81) |
| G331.5582-00.1206 | 5.5 | 26.1 (0.8) | ... | 18.82 (1.32) |
| G332.1544-00.4487 | 3.6 | 37.2 (0.8) | $\ldots$ | 7.49 (0.35) |
| G332.2944-00.0962 | 3.6 | 24.0 (2.0) | 46.56 | 17.56 (1.58) |
| G332.8256-00.5498 | 3.6 | 30.0 (3.0) | 47.84 | 60.90 (7.30) |
| G333.0299-00.0645 | 3.6 | 29.0 (3.0) | ... | 4.62 (0.64) |
| G333.0494+00.0324 | 3.6 | 27.0 (2.0) | $\ldots$ | 3.84 (0.34) |
| G333.1642-00.0994 | 5.1 | 27.0 (2.0) | $\ldots$ | 4.95 (0.59) |
| G336.3684-00.0033 | 7.7 | 23.0 (1.0) | 47.96 | 15.65 (1.10) |
| G337.0047+00.3226 | 11.4 | 26.0 (3.0) | 47.93 | 5.18 (0.62) |
| G337.4050-00.4071 | 3.1 | 27.5 (0.7) | 46.53 | 38.42 (1.80) |
| G337.8442-00.3748 | 3.0 | 29.9 (0.9) | 45.77 | 6.23 (0.44) |
| G337.9947+00.0770 | 7.8 | 25.7 (0.7) | $\ldots$ | 5.82 (0.41) |
| G338.0715 +00.0126 | 3.0 | 25.8 (0.9) | $\ldots$ | 16.77 (1.17) |
| G339.1052 +00.1490 | 4.7 | 25.0 (1.0) | 46.38 | 4.73 (0.33) |
| G340.0708 +00.9267 | 4.6 | 26.0 (2.0) | 46.73 | 5.95 (0.53) |
| G340.2480-00.3725 | 3.7 | 22.0 (1.0) | 46.75 | 14.28 (1.00) |
| G342.7057 +00.1260 | 3.4 | 25.2 (0.7) | 45.18 | 15.30 (1.07) |
| G344.4257 +00.0451 | 4.7 | 30.9 (0.8) | 47.20 | 8.60 (0.60) |
| G345.0034-00.2240 | 2.8 | 25.8 (11.0) | ... | 41.17 (10.29) |
| G345.4881 + 00.3148 | 2.1 | 28.0 (1.0) | 47.41 | 35.86 (2.51) |
| G345.6495 +00.0084 | 14.8 | 35.0 (0.6) | 49.09 | 11.34 (0.79) |
| G346.0774-00.0562 | 10.9 | 24.0 (4.0) | 46.70 | 6.09 (0.85) |
| G346.5235 +00.0839 | 11.2 | 29.0 (2.0) | 47.73 | 4.41 (0.52) |
| G347.8707 +00.0146 | 3.4 | 26.0 (1.0) | 46.84 | 7.32 (0.65) |
| G348.8922-00.1787 | 11.2 | 30.0 (2.0) | ... | 5.55 (0.49) |
| MYSOs |  |  |  |  |
| G305.2017 +00.2072 | 4.0 | 26.8 (0.8) | $\ldots$ | 31.96(2.24) |

Table 1
(Continued)

| RMS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | $D^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kpc})$ | $T_{d}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{K})$ | $\log \left(N_{L}\right)$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{S}^{-1}\right)$ | $N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}$ <br> $\left(\times 10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ |
| G310.0135+00.3892 | 3.2 | $28.0(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $8.21(0.58)$ |
| G313.7654-00.8620 | 7.8 | $23.0(2.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $11.87(1.06)$ |
| G314.3197+00.1125 | 3.6 | $22.0(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $7.15(0.50)$ |
| G318.9480-00.1969 | 2.4 | $25.6(0.4)$ | $\ldots$ | $17.16(1.20)$ |
| G320.2437-00.5619 | 9.5 | $18.0(2.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $5.95(0.53)$ |
| G326.4755+00.6947 | 1.8 | $22.3(0.6)$ | $\ldots$ | $38.42(2.69)$ |
| G326.5437+00.1684 | 4.4 | $19.0(4.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $10.11(1.70)$ |
| G327.1192+00.5103 | 4.9 | $27.0(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $7.85(0.55)$ |
| G329.0663-00.3081 | 11.6 | $18.5(0.6)$ | $\ldots$ | $13.02(0.91)$ |
| G330.9288-00.4070 | 11.9 | $20.5(0.5)$ | $\ldots$ | $9.22(0.65)$ |
| G332.4683-00.5228 | 3.6 | $21.0(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $9.22(0.65)$ |
| G332.9636-00.6800 | 3.2 | $22.0(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $21.61(1.51)$ |
| G333.3151+00.1053 | 3.6 | $23.0(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $9.43(0.84)$ |
| G333.3752-00.2015 | 3.6 | $23.0(2.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $4.73(0.42)$ |
| G335.0611-00.4261 | 2.8 | $22.5(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $14.28(1.00)$ |
| G337.1555-00.3951 | 3.1 | $17.6(0.5)$ | $\ldots$ | $6.68(0.47)$ |
| G338.2801+00.5419 | 4.1 | $20.8(0.8)$ | $\ldots$ | $7.15(0.50)$ |
| G338.9196+00.5495 | 4.2 | $20.0(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $58.16(4.07)$ |
| G339.6221-00.1209 | 2.8 | $25.6(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $7.67(0.54)$ |
| G341.1281-00.3466 | 3.3 | $23.3(0.4)$ | $\ldots$ | $6.83(0.48)$ |
| G341.2182-00.2136 | 3.4 | $23.7(0.6)$ | $\ldots$ | $12.72(0.59)$ |
| G342.9583-00.3180 | 12.7 | $21.2(0.5)$ | $\ldots$ | $3.42(0.16)$ |
| G343.5213-00.5171 | 3.2 | $20.0(2.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $6.53(0.78)$ |
| G345.2619-00.4188 | 2.7 | $19.9(0.5)$ | $\ldots$ | $4.62(0.21)$ |
| G345.7172+00.8166 | 1.6 | $20.0(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $11.60(0.81)$ |
| G346.4809+00.1320 | 15.0 | $23.0(2.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $2.91(0.34)$ |
| G348.6491+00.0225 | 11.1 | $21.0(1.0)$ | $\ldots$ | $4.95(0.44)$ |

## Notes.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Urquhart et al. (2008).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ These values are from Guzmán et al. (2015).
(This table is available in machine-readable form.)
where $\nu$ is the frequency and $S_{\nu}$ is the integrated flux density given by Urquhart et al. (2007), and $D$ is the kinematic distance derived by Urquhart et al. (2008). The large number of Lyman continuum fluxes (listed in Table 1, column (4)) indicates that they are probably massive O or early B-type star formation regions.

### 3.2. Column Densities and Abundances

Assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium conditions and a beam filling factor of 1 , the column densities of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, and HNC could be derived from (e.g., Sanhueza et al. 2012)

$$
\begin{align*}
N= & \frac{8 \pi \nu^{3}}{c^{3} R} \frac{Q_{\mathrm{rot}}}{g_{u} A_{u l}} \frac{\exp \left(E_{l} / k T_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)}{1-\exp \left(-h \nu / k T_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)} \\
& \times \frac{\tau}{1-\exp (-\tau)} \frac{\int T_{\mathrm{mb}} d \nu}{J\left(T_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)-J\left(T_{\mathrm{bg}}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ is the velocity of light in the vacuum, $g_{u}$ is the statistical weight of the upper level, $A_{u l}$ is the Einstein coefficient for spontaneous transition, $E_{l}$ is the energy of the lower level, $Q_{\text {rot }}$ is the partition function, $T_{\mathrm{bg}}$ is the background temperature, $\tau$ is the optical depth, and $T_{\text {ex }}$ is the excitation temperature in all cases. We assume that $T_{\mathrm{ex}}$ is equal to the dust




Figure 1. Dust temperature distributions of total sources (top), H II regions (middle), and MYSOs (bottom). The dashed vertical lines indicate their mean temperatures.
temperature $\left(T_{d}\right)$ derived by Guzmán et al. (2015). $R$ is only relevant for hyperfine transitions because it takes into account the satellite lines corrected by their relative opacities. The value of $R$ is $5 / 9$ for $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, 5 / 12$ for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, and 1.0 for transitions
without hyperfine structure. The values of $g_{u}, A_{u l}$, and $E_{l}$ could be found in the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS; Müller et al. 2001, 2005). $J(T)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(T)=\frac{h \nu}{k} \frac{1}{e^{h \nu / k T}-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Near 90 GHz , the $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}(1-0)$ line has 15 hyperfine structures, out of which seven have a different frequency (e.g., Pagani et al. 2009; Keto \& Rybicki 2010). In massive star-forming regions, these velocity components tend to blend into three groups because of turbulence (see Figure 2 of Purcell et al. 2009). We follow the procedure outlined by Purcell et al. (2009) to estimate the optical depth of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$. Assuming that the line widths of the individual hyperfine components are all equal, the integrated intensities of the three blended groups should be in the ratio of 1:5:3 under optically thin conditions. The optical depth can then be derived from the ratio of the integrated intensities of any two groups using the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int T_{\mathrm{MB}, 1} d v}{\int T_{\mathrm{MB}, 2} d v}=\frac{1-\exp \left(-\tau_{1}\right)}{1-\exp \left(-a \tau_{1}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the expected ratio of $\tau_{2} / \tau_{1}$ under optically thin conditions. We determined the optical depth only from the intensity ratio of group $1 /$ group 2 (defined by Purcell et al. 2009), as anomalous excitation of the $F_{1} F=10-11$ and $12-12$ components (in our group 3 ) has been reported by Caselli et al. (1995). Here the value of $a$ is 5. To derive the line intensities and peak emissions, we fitted the three groups with three Gaussian profiles from the averaged pixels inside $38^{\prime \prime}$. The integrated intensities and peak intensities of group 1 and group 2 are listed in Table 2 and Table 3, the derived column densities of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$are listed in Table 4. Finally, the fractional abundance of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$with respect to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ could be estimated by $\chi$ $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right)=N\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right) / N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. The derived values are listed in Table 5.
$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}(N=1-0)$ has six hyperfine components, out of which two $(N=1-0, J=3 / 2-1 / 2, F=2-1$ and $N=$ $1-0, J=3 / 2-1 / 2, F=1-0)$ could easily be detected in MALT90. The optical depth of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}(F=2-1)$ can be obtained by comparing its hyperfine components. Under the assumption of being optically thin, the intensity ratio of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ $(F=2-1)$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}(F=1-0)$ should be 2.0 (Tucker et al. 1974). Thus, the opacity of the brightest component of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ could be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-e^{-0.5 \tau}}{1-e^{-\tau}}=\frac{T_{\mathrm{mb}}(F=1-0)}{T_{\mathrm{mb}}(F=2-1)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The peak intensities of these two components of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ are listed in Table 3. The column densities of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ can be derived through Equation (2). The calculated parameters are also listed in Table 4.
Many studies indicate that $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(J=10-9)$ used to be optically thin (e.g., Chen et al. 2013). In order to compare our study with that of Vasyunina et al. (2011) and Sanhueza et al. (2012), we also assume that this transition is optically thin here. The optical thickness of HNC could be estimated by comparing

Table 2
Integrated Intensities of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, and $\mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C}$

| RMS <br> Name | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ <br> Group 1 $\left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} \\ \text {Group 2 } \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ F=2-1 \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ J=10-9 \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ J=1-0 \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | HNC $\begin{aligned} & J=1-0 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H II Regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G300.5047-00.1745 | ... |  | 2.53 (0.26) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4.43 (0.22) |
| G301.1364-00.2249 | 1.23 (0.21) | 3.22 (0.20) | 6.31 (0.18) | 4.37 (0.17) | $\ldots$ | 12.23 (0.17) |
| G301.8147+00.7808 | ... | 1.67 (0.14) | 1.52 (0.16) | ... | $\ldots$ | 3.26 (0.13) |
| G302.0319-00.0613 | $\ldots$ | ... | 3.37 (0.36) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4.19 (0.32) |
| G302.4867-00.0308 | ... |  |  | ... | $\ldots$ | 3.70 (0.23) |
| G307.5606-00.5871 | 1.27(0.20) | 7.87 (0.34) | 2.14 (0.21) | 2.08 (0.19) | $\ldots$ | 9.33 (0.24) |
| G307.6138-00.2559 | 1.51(0.23) | 3.15 (0.20) | 4.83 (0.20) | ... | $\ldots$ | 7.33 (0.17) |
| G309.9206 + 00.4790 | ... |  | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 9.83 (0.66) |
| G310.1420 +00.7583 | $1.04(0.13)$ | 4.27 (0.17) | 2.73 (0.18) | 2.57 (0.21) | $\ldots$ | 9.28 (0.20) |
| G311.6264 +00.2897 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| G316.1386-00.5009 | 1.23 (0.13) | 5.45 (0.15) | 3.67 (0.17) | 1.41 (0.13) | ... | 8.01 (0.18) |
| G317.4298-00.5612 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.60 (0.17) |
| G318.9148-00.1647 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 0.97 (0.16) | $\ldots$ | 7.73 (0.54) |
| G320.7779 +00.2412 | ... | 1.52 (0.19) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.51 (0.19) |
| G321.7209 +01.1711 | 1.47(0.16) | 5.78 (0.21) | 3.56 (0.20) | 1.64 (0.19) | $\ldots$ | 7.74 (1.81) |
| G323.4468 +00.0968 | 1.15(0.20) | 4.78 (0.17) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 5.92 (0.20) |
| G324.1997+00.1192 | ... | ... | 2.82 (0.20) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 10.41 (0.25) |
| G326.4477-00.7485 | 1.69 (0.19) | 6.79 (0.18) | ... | 1.77 (0.17) | $\ldots$ | 4.55 (0.21) |
| G326.4719-00.3777 | 1.74(0.17) | 6.64 (0.20) | 4.41 (0.22) | 1.97 (0.15) | $\ldots$ | 8.90 (0.18) |
| G326.7249 + 00.6159 | 2.02(0.18) | 9.74 (0.21) | 4.9 (0.25) | 3.30 (0.22) | $\ldots$ | 17.34 (0.24) |
| G328.3067+00.4308 | ... | ... | 6.15 (0.24) | 2.09 (0.19) | ... | 18.22 (0.88) |
| G328.8074 +00.6324 | 1.80(0.15) | 7.32(0.17) | 4.85 (0.17) | 7.92 (0.21) | 2.43 (0.18) | 14.92 (0.19) |
| G328.9580+00.5671 | 0.96(0.13) | 5.09 (0.19) | 4.17 (0.21) | 1.82 (0.15) |  | 6.71 (0.18) |
| G329.3371 +00.1469 | 0.95(0.18) | 5.52 (0.26) | 5.09 (0.22) | 3.4 (0.16) | 1.13 (0.16) | 20.92 (0.25) |
| G329.4055-00.4574 | 1.98(0.18) | 6.27 (0.57) | 4.51 (0.19) | 4.12 (0.16) | 1.41 (0.21) | 8.64 (0.36) |
| G329.4211-00.1631 | $1.80(0.15)$ | 8.01 (0.17) | 2.48 (0.18) | 1.37 (0.15) | ... | 9.65 (0.17) |
| G329.4761+00.8414 | 0.50(0.15) | 1.78 (0.18) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 4.20 (0.19) |
| G329.8145+00.1411 | $1.55(0.22)$ | 6.19 (0.29) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 5.96 (0.26) |
| G330.2845+00.4933 | 0.52(0.09) | 3.26 (0.18) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4.88 (0.21) |
| G330.2935-00.3946 | ... | ... | 2.76 (0.19) | ... | ... | 9.72 (0.21) |
| G330.8845-00.3721 | 2.09 (0.23) | 7.21 (0.24) | 8.24 (0.22) | 6.4 (0.21) | 1.76 (0.19) | 18.61 (0.22) |
| G330.9544-00.1817 |  |  | 6.11 (0.24) | 8.11 (0.26) | 2.52 (0.25) | 24.85 (2.10) |
| G331.1282-00.2436 | 2.45(0.20) | 12.57(0.32) | 4.07 (0.23) | 4.75 (0.21) | ... | 13.68 (0.93) |
| G331.4181-00.3546 | 2.29(0.33) | 7.78 (0.35) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 6.99 (0.38) |
| G331.5582-00.1206 | 1.81(0.15) | 7.59 (0.18) | ... | 2.27 (0.19) | $\ldots$ | 5.58 (0.17) |
| G332.1544-00.4487 | ... |  | 5.85 (0.26) | ... | $\ldots$ | 10.40 (0.12) |
| G332.2944-00.0962 | 2.20(0.12) | 9.11 (0.15) | 4.25 (0.18) | 2.52 (0.16) | $\ldots$ | 9.19 (0.18) |
| G332.8256-00.5498 | 3.52(0.11) | 17.28(1.06) | 10.37(0.28) | 9.80 (0.22) | 3.5 (0.23) | 29.73 (0.25) |
| G333.0299 - 00.0645 | 0.49(0.10) | 2.42 (0.12) | 3.28 (0.16) | 1.62 (0.12) | ... | 4.25 (0.14) |
| G333.0494 +00.0324 | 0.43(0.09) | 2.32 (0.11) | 2.30 (0.16) | ... | $\ldots$ | 4.97 (0.14) |
| G333.1642-00.0994 | 2.67(0.28) | 4.97 (0.30) |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4.69 (0.61) |
| G336.3684-00.0033 | ... |  | 3.16 (0.30) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 16.38 (0.31) |
| G337.0047 + 00.3226 | 0.39(0.12) | 3.37 (0.22) | ... |  |  | 4.81 (0.18) |
| G337.4050-00.4071 | 2.51(0.17) | 10.53(0.17) | 6.75 (0.19) | 7.31 (0.17) | 2.08 (0.17) | 21.05 (0.18) |
| G337.8442-00.3748 | 0.78(0.15) | 5.16 (0.15) | 2.74 (0.19) | 1.69 (0.12) | ... | 7.28 (0.14) |
| G337.9947+00.0770 | ... | ... | 3.02 (0.17) | ... | $\ldots$ | 9.27 (0.17) |
| G338.0715+00.0126 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... |
| G339.1052 +00.1490 | 0.94(0.10) | 5.02 (0.14) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 5.99 (0.15) |
| G340.0708 +00.9267 | 1.37(0.16) | 6.21 (0.23) | 3.16 (0.18) | 1.75 (0.15) | ... | 8.97 (0.17) |
| G340.2480-00.3725 | 5.02(0.13) | 23.22(0.18) | 4.31 (0.20) | 5.70 (0.16) | 3.11 (0.16) | 18.87 (0.82) |
| G342.7057 +00.1260 | 3.45(0.19) | 16.00(0.29) | 6.42 (0.22) | 5.84 (0.18) | 2.05 (0.17) | 15.52 (0.57) |
| G344.4257 +00.0451 | 1.56(0.13) | 7.08 (0.16) | 3.94 (0.23) | 2.54 (0.13) | 1.51 (0.16) | 9.48 (0.18) |
| G345.0034-00.2240 | 3.89(0.16) | 11.77(0.42) | 3.54 (0.23) | 10.46(0.27) | 3.23 (0.23) | 12.33 (0.56) |
| G345.4881+00.3148 | $3.27(0.24)$ | 15.17(0.53) | 11.53(0.30) | 9.27 (0.31) | 3.17 (0.28) | 35.97 (0.56) |
| G345.6495+00.0084 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 5.19 (0.32) |
| G346.0774-00.0562 | 1.88(0.21) | $6.85(0.26)$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 5.05 (0.27) |
| G346.5235 +00.0839 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3.48 (0.18) |
| G347.8707+00.0146 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2.95 (0.20) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 9.48 (0.24) |
| G348.8922-00.1787 | ... | 2.52 (0.16) | 1.91 (0.19) |  |  | 6.36 (0.19) |

Table 2
(Continued)

| RMS <br> Name | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} \\ \text {Group } 1 \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} \\ \text {Group 2 } \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ F=2-1 \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ J=10-9 \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ J=1-0 \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNC} \\ J=1-0 \\ \left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MYSOs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G305.2017 +00.2072 |  |  | 6.90 (0.26) | 7.74 (0.27) | $\ldots$ | 21.23 (0.27) |
| G310.0135 +00.3892 | 1.56(0.13) | 5.32 (0.14) | 2.81 (0.14) | 1.73 (0.13) | $\ldots$ | 6.02 (0.13) |
| G313.7654-00.8620 | 3.18(0.16) | 15.75(0.22) | 4.07 (0.24) | 3.86 (0.22) | 1.66 (0.17) | 16.38 (0.21) |
| G314.3197+00.1125 | $1.45(0.15)$ | 7.28 (0.20) | ... | 1.20 (0.17) | ... | 3.76 (0.27) |
| G318.9480-00.1969 | 3.06(0.13) | 13.59(0.15) | 3.25 (0.18) | 4.19 (0.17) | 1.78 (0.19) | 17.42 (2.38) |
| G320.2437-00.5619 | 0.60(0.13) | 2.92 (0.18) | ... | ... | ... | 2.01 (0.17) |
| G326.4755+00.6947 | 4.08(0.21) | 17.31(0.25) | 5.06 (0.28) | 4.81 (0.24) | 1.66 (0.19) | 19.31 (1.48) |
| G326.5437+00.1684 | 1.30(0.21) | 7.13 (0.30) | 1.83 (1.26) | ... | ... | 7.59 (0.30) |
| G327.1192+00.5103 | 0.64(0.14) | 3.69 (0.19) | 2.03 (0.26) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3.04 (0.26) |
| G329.0663-00.3081 | 2.72(0.16) | 13.58(0.29) | 2.65 (0.21) | 4.23 (0.21) | 2.61 (0.21) | 11.10 (0.21) |
| G330.9288-00.4070 | 1.44(0.11) | 5.72 (0.14) | 1.53 (0.14) | 1.20 (0.16) | 0.95 (0.14) | 5.95 (0.14) |
| G332.4683-00.5228 | 1.88(0.13) | 11.23(0.17) | 4.16 (0.21) | 3.76 (0.19) | 1.66 (0.17) | 13.63 (0.25) |
| G332.9636-00.6800 | 4.12(0.32) | 12.80(0.66) | 5.69 (0.32) | 4.87 (0.32) | ... | 14.55 (0.46) |
| G333.3151+00.1053 | 2.68(0.17) | 13.25(0.21) | 3.40 (0.30) | 3.20 (0.22) | 1.2 (0.23) | 14.48 (0.26) |
| G333.3752-00.2015 | 0.44(0.14) | 2.31 (0.26) | ... | ... | ... | 3.57 (0.26) |
| G335.0611-00.4261 | 2.12(0.26) | 9.05 (0.30) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 7.46 (0.46) |
| G337.1555-00.3951 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| G338.2801 + 00.5419 | 1.59(0.19) | 8.91 (0.22) | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 11.35 (0.53) |
| G338.9196+00.5495 | 8.87(0.26) | 40.16(0.46) | 6.73 (0.29) | 8.34 (0.26) | 4.19 (0.27) | 25.37 (0.87) |
| G339.6221-00.1209 | 1.69(0.14) | 9.72 (0.16) | 3.47 (0.30) | 2.41 (0.17) | 1.84 (0.24) | 12.65 (0.62) |
| G341.1281-00.3466 | 0.90(0.22) | 5.04 (0.23) | ... | ... | ... | 7.94 (0.24) |
| G341.2182-00.2136 | 2.91(0.23) | 14.41(0.26) | 3.04 (0.11) | 2.97 (0.25) | $\ldots$ | 9.42 (0.31) |
| G342.9583-00.3180 | 1.12(0.20) | 4.25 (0.25) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 4.05 (0.25) |
| G343.5213-00.5171 | 1.98(0.12) | 9.38 (0.14) |  | 1.18 (0.10) | $\ldots$ | 6.32 (0.16) |
| G345.2619-00.4188 | 1.30(0.19) | 3.33 (0.16) | 1.45 (0.17) | 0.81 (0.13) | ... | 3.74 (0.20) |
| G345.7172+00.8166 | 2.99(0.13) | 11.18(0.16) | 2.84 (0.16) | 2.74 (0.14) | 1.6 (0.15) | 8.95 (0.36) |
| G346.4809 +00.1320 | ... | 1.82 (0.19) | ... | ... | ... | 3.69 (0.62) |
| G348.6491+00.0225 | 1.70(0.18) | 2.94 (0.25) | $\ldots$ |  |  | 6.95 (0.21) |

(This table is available in machine-readable form.)
the intensity of its isotopologue $\mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-e^{-\tau_{12}}}{1-e^{-\tau_{12} / X}}=\frac{{ }^{12} T_{\mathrm{mb}}}{{ }^{13} T_{\mathrm{mb}}}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X \sim\left[{ }^{12} C\right] /\left[{ }^{13} C\right]$ is the isotope abundance ratio. Here we use a constant $X=50$ in our calculations (Purcell et al. 2006).

## 4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSIONS

## 4.1. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$(Diazenylium)

$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$is one of the most detected molecules in our study. According to previous observations made in low-mass starforming regions and chemical evolution models, $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$is mainly destroyed via $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO}^{+}+\mathrm{N}_{2}$ when CO evaporates into the gas phase (e.g., Bergin \& Langer 1997; Lee et al. 2004). Thus, we would expect to find that the $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ abundance decreases as a function of evolutionary stage. However, this trend was not found in the observations of Sanhueza et al. (2012) and Hoq et al. (2013). They regard that this may be caused by their beam dilution, and/or chemical processes of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$in massive star-forming regions may be different from those in low-mass star-forming regions. In our study, we find that the column densities of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$range from $0.31 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ to $4.24 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$, with a mean value of
$1.51 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$. These values are not so much different from those found in other massive star-forming regions like IRDCs and EGOs (see Table 6). However, we find that our $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ abundances range from $0.4 \times 10^{-10}$ to $0.8 \times 10^{-9}$, with a mean value of only $0.2 \times 10^{-9}$. These values are at least 1 mag lower than those found in IRDCs. This may be because our RMSs are relatively more evolved than IRDCs. Figure 2 shows the histograms of the number distributions of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$abundances for our total RMSs, MYSOs, and H iI regions. The K-S test gives a possibility of $49 \%$ that the two distributions originate from the same parent population, indicating that they are indistinguishable. We found that the fractional abundance of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}\left(\chi\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\right)$seems to decrease as a function of Lyman continuum fluxes $N_{L}$ as shown in Figure 3. This may be caused by the fact that in $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$could be destroyed by recombination with electrons: $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{N}$ (e.g., Dislaire et al. 2012; Vigren et al. 2012; Yu et al. 2015).

## 4.2. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (Ethynyl)

$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ was first detected by Tucker et al. (1974) in interstellar clouds. However, it has not been systematically studied and its evolution routes are still not clear in massive star-forming regions. Through observations of NGC 7023, Fuente et al.

Table 3
Peak Intensities of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, and $\mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C}$

| RMS <br> Name | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ <br> Group 2 <br> (K) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ F=1-0 \end{gathered}$ <br> (K) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ F=2-1 \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ J=10-9 \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ J=1-0 \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNC} \\ J=1-0 \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H II Regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G300.5047-00.1745 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.47 | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.03 |
| G301.1364-00.2249 | 0.79 | 0.85 | 1.46 | 0.96 | $\ldots$ | 2.75 |
| $\mathrm{G} 301.8147+00.7808$ | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.61 | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.41 |
| G302.0319-00.0613 | ... | ... | 1.03 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.46 |
| G302.4867-00.0308 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0.98 |
| G307.5606-00.5871 | 1.36 | 0.25 | 0.39 | 0.46 | $\ldots$ | 1.66 |
| G307.6138-00.2559 | 0.89 | 0.57 | 1.32 | ... | ... | 2.00 |
| G309.9206+00.4790 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 1.56 |
| G310.1420+00.7583 | 1.28 | 0.38 | 0.93 | 0.69 | ... | 2.36 |
| G311.6264 +00.2897 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| G316.1386-00.5009 | 1.68 | 0.68 | 1.01 | 0.55 | ... | 1.83 |
| G317.4298-00.5612 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 0.46 |
| G318.9148-00.1647 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 0.30 | $\ldots$ | 1.03 |
| G320.7779+00.2412 | 0.51 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 0.87 |
| G321.7209 +01.1711 | 1.47 | 0.67 | 1.23 | 0.59 | $\ldots$ | 1.89 |
| G323.4468 +00.0968 | 1.91 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.72 |
| $\mathrm{G} 324.1997+00.1192$ | ... | 0.28 | 0.62 | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.70 |
| G326.4477-00.7485 | 1.88 | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.46 | $\ldots$ | 0.93 |
| G326.4719-00.3777 | 2.00 | 0.54 | 1.06 | 0.76 | $\ldots$ | 2.12 |
| G326.7249 + 00.6159 | 2.70 | 0.64 | 1.30 | 0.82 | ... | 4.05 |
| $\mathrm{G} 328.3067+00.4308$ | ... | 0.61 | 0.94 | 0.43 | $\ldots$ | 1.18 |
| G328.8074+00.6324 | 2.24 | 1.05 | 1.59 | 1.91 | 0.69 | 4.69 |
| G328.9580+00.5671 | 1.35 | 0.50 | 0.90 | 0.63 | ... | 1.52 |
| G329.3371 +00.1469 | 1.45 | 0.52 | 0.97 | 0.83 | 0.37 | 4.01 |
| G329.4055-00.4574 | 1.64 | 0.48 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.32 | 1.71 |
| G329.4211-00.1631 | 2.72 | 0.34 | 1.00 | 0.62 | ... | 2.72 |
| G329.4761+00.8414 | 0.60 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.09 |
| G329.8145+00.1411 | 1.55 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.55 |
| G330.2845+00.4933 | 1.13 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.30 |
| G330.2935-00.3946 |  | 0.38 | 0.63 | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.51 |
| G330.8845-00.3721 | 1.60 | 0.85 | 1.62 | 1.25 | 0.40 | 2.90 |
| G330.9544-00.1817 | 1.08 | 0.51 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 0.38 | 2.07 |
| G331.1282-00.2436 | 2.11 | 0.42 | 0.66 | 0.79 | ... | 1.33 |
| G331.4181-00.3546 | 2.55 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 2.01 |
| G331.5582-00.1206 | 2.43 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.55 | $\ldots$ | 1.87 |
| G332.1544-00.4487 | 0.71 | 0.39 | 0.78 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.49 |
| G332.2944-00.0962 | 2.85 | 0.63 | 1.15 | 0.77 | .. | 2.20 |
| G332.8256-00.5498 | 2.68 | 0.74 | 1.30 | 1.48 | 0.58 | 3.26 |
| G333.0299-00.0645 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 1.00 | 0.65 | ... | 1.13 |
| G333.0494 +00.0324 | 1.00 | 0.43 | 0.80 | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.74 |
| G333.1642-00.0994 | 1.61 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.72 |
| G336.3684-00.0033 | ... | 0.24 | 0.68 | ... | $\ldots$ | 2.54 |
| G337.0047+00.3226 | 0.92 | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | 1.17 |
| G337.4050-00.4071 | 2.76 | 0.86 | 1.65 | 1.82 | 0.54 | 3.87 |
| G337.8442-00.3748 | 2.00 | 0.52 | 0.98 | 1.00 | ... | 2.29 |
| G337.9947+00.0770 | $\ldots$ | 0.50 | 0.83 | ... | $\ldots$ | 2.21 |
| G338.0715+00.0126 | 1.03 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G339.1052+00.1490 | 1.59 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | $\ldots$ | 1.35 |
| G340.0708 + 00.9267 | 1.73 | 0.45 | 0.90 | 0.52 | ... | 2.40 |
| G340.2480-00.3725 | 5.88 | 0.67 | 1.10 | 1.60 | 0.96 | 3.41 |
| $\mathrm{G} 342.7057+00.1260$ | 3.01 | 0.87 | 1.45 | 1.74 | 0.70 | 3.55 |
| G344.4257+00.0451 | 2.06 | 0.55 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.47 | 2.13 |
| G345.0034-00.2240 | 2.64 | 0.30 | 0.61 | 1.36 | 0.59 | 1.09 |
| $\mathrm{G} 345.4881+00.3148$ | 4.10 | 1.15 | 2.29 | 1.95 | 0.65 | 5.15 |
| G345.6495+00.0084 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0.57 |
| G346.0774-00.0562 | 1.99 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.22 |
| G346.5235+00.0839 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 0.70 |
| G347.8707+00.0146 | 0.92 | 0.37 | 0.64 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.34 |
| G348.8922-00.1787 | 0.68 | 0.22 | 0.44 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.18 |

Table 3
(Continued)

| RMS <br> Name | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ <br> Group 2 <br> (K) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ F=1-0 \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ F=2-1 \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ J=10-9 \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C} \\ J=1-0 \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | HNC $J=1-0$ <br> (K) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MYSOs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G305.2017+00.2072 | $\ldots$ | 0.41 | 1.03 | 0.96 | $\ldots$ | 2.86 |
| G310.0135+00.3892 | 1.90 | 0.52 | 1.31 | 0.88 | ... | 2.78 |
| G313.7654-00.8620 | 3.92 | 0.49 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.62 | 3.42 |
| $\mathrm{G} 314.3197+00.1125$ | 1.99 | ... | ... | 0.42 | ... | 0.75 |
| G318.9480-00.1969 | 4.17 | 0.53 | 1.05 | 1.24 | 0.53 | 2.72 |
| G320.2437-00.5619 | 0.97 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 0.59 |
| G326.4755+00.6947 | 4.83 | 0.49 | 1.20 | 1.23 | 0.59 | 2.68 |
| $\mathrm{G} 326.5437+00.1684$ | 2.00 | 0.43 | 0.52 | ... | ... | 1.83 |
| G327.1192+00.5103 | 1.03 | 0.34 | 0.50 | ... | ... | 0.69 |
| G329.0663-00.3081 | 2.40 | 0.38 | 0.55 | 0.73 | 0.47 | 2.26 |
| G330.9288-00.4070 | 1.92 | 0.52 | 0.72 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 1.91 |
| G332.4683-00.5228 | 3.21 | 0.62 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 0.58 | 2.45 |
| G332.9636-00.6800 | 3.18 | 0.44 | 0.99 | 1.29 | ... | 1.78 |
| G333.3151+00.1053 | 3.63 | 0.54 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 0.50 | 3.13 |
| G333.3752-00.2015 | 0.79 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0.94 |
| G335.0611-00.4261 | 2.78 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.60 |
| G337.1555-00.3951 | .. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| G338.2801+00.5419 | 2.16 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 2.71 |
| G338.9196+00.5495 | 5.14 | 0.53 | 0.91 | 1.25 | 0.61 | 3.41 |
| G339.6221-00.1209 | 3.39 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.84 | 0.61 | 2.52 |
| G341.1281-00.3466 | 1.63 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2.33 |
| G341.2182-00.2136 | 3.97 | 0.44 | 1.08 | 0.88 | $\ldots$ | 2.33 |
| G342.9583-00.3180 | 1.68 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.77 |
| G343.5213-00.5171 | 2.66 | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.60 | $\ldots$ | 1.31 |
| G345.2619-00.4188 | 1.20 | ... | 0.67 | 0.51 | $\ldots$ | 1.20 |
| G345.7172+00.8166 | 3.41 | 0.81 | 1.01 | 1.22 | 0.70 | 2.57 |
| G346.4809 + 00.1320 | 0.52 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0.77 |
| $\mathrm{G} 348.6491+00.0225$ | 0.80 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.67 |

(This table is available in machine-readable form.)
(1993) suggest that $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ is a good photodissociation region (PDR) tracer. At the boundary layers between ionized and molecular gas, UV photons can produce $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ by reactions with acetylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+h \nu \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}$. However, based on the high spatial resolution observations of the Submillimeter Array and chemical models, Beuther et al. (2008) suggest that $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ may preferentially exist in the outer part of dense gas clumps, as they found that the distribution of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ shows a hole in the hot core. In the cold low-mass star-forming cores of Taurus, Pratap et al. (1997) also found strong $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ emissions. In the high-mass regime of the prestellar IRDC G028.23-00.19, Sanhueza et al. (2013) interestingly found that $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ has similar spatial distribution and line widths to $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{D}$, suggesting that $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ can also trace cold gas in IRDCs. Thus, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ may trace both early and late stages of star formation. Recently, Li et al. (2012) observed 27 massive starforming regions with water masers. They found that their $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ optical depth declines when molecular clouds evolve from hypercompact $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions to classical $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions. On the other hand, Yu \& Wang (2015) found that the $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right] /$ $\left[\mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{CO}^{+}\right.$] relative abundance ratio decreases from MYSOs to H II regions. These studies suggest that $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ might be used as a chemical clock for molecular clouds. In this study, the abundance of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ does not show significant difference in our subgroups of MYSOs and H II regions (see Figure 4). The

K-S test gives a probability of $61 \%$ that they may originate from the same parent population. The abundances of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ range from $1.4 \times 10^{-9}$ to $1.96 \times 10^{-8}$, with an average value of $0.50 \times 10^{-8}$. Our mean value is about 3-7 times less than those found by Sanhueza et al. (2012) and Vasyunina et al. (2011), indicating slight depletion of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$. Figure 3 (the middle panel) shows the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ fractional abundance plotted as a function of $N_{L}$ in logarithmic scales. It seems that the fractional abundance of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ decreases as the number of UV photons increases. One reason may be that in dense molecular gas, nearly all carbon is in the form of CO because CO is chemically stable. However, in H II region UV photons can photodissociate CO into C and $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{CO}+h \nu \rightarrow \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O})$. Atom C can further be photoionized into $\mathrm{C}^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}+h \nu \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right)$. The enhanced abundances of O and $\mathrm{C}^{+}$can destroy $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ through reactions $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{CH}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}^{+} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}$ (Watt et al. 1988). Deep observations and chemical models should be carried out to verify our speculations.

## 4.3. $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (Cyanoacetylene)

$\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ traces both cold molecular clouds and hot cores. Previous studies indicate that $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ is mainly produced through $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{CN} \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}$ (Chapman et al. 2009). It is the most simple example of the cyanopolyynes, $\mathrm{HC}_{2 n+1} \mathrm{~N}$.

Table 4
Column Densities of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, and HNC

| RMS <br> Name | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} \\ 10^{13} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ 10^{44} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ 10^{13} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{10^{14} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}}{\mathrm{HNC}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H II Regions |  |  |  |  |
| G300.5047-00.1745 | $\ldots$ | 4.37 (0.55) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G301.1364-00.2249 | 0.73(0.06) | 10.51(0.51) | 1.77(0.07) | ... |
| G301.8147+00.7808 | 0.38(0.06) | 1.81 (0.36) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G302.0319-00.0613 | ... | 4.57 (0.61) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G302.4867-00.0308 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| G307.5606-00.5871 | 1.68(0.08) | 4.07 (0.49) | 0.84(0.07) | ... |
| G307.6138-00.2559 | 0.71(0.08) | 5.76 (0.40) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G309.9206 + 00.4790 | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... |
| G310.1420 +00.7583 | 0.96(0.09) | 3.26 (0.31) | 1.04(0.08) | ... |
| G311.6264 +00.2897 | ... | $\cdots$ | .. | $\ldots$ |
| G316.1386-00.5009 | 1.16(0.07) | 7.81 (0.59) | $0.57(0.05)$ | ... |
| G317.4298-00.5612 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G318.9148-00.1647 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.4 (0.08) | $\ldots$ |
| G320.7779 +00.2412 | 0.31(0.05) | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G321.7209 +01.1711 | 1.23(0.16) | 4.67 (0.67) | 0.66(0.08) | $\ldots$ |
| G323.4468 +00.0968 | 0.93(0.05) | ... | ... | ... |
| G324.1997 +00.1192 | ... | 4.01 (0.48) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G326.4477-00.7485 | 1.32(0.08) | ... | 0.71(0.07) | ... |
| G326.4719-00.3777 | $1.46(0.08)$ | 5.49 (0.41) | 0.79(0.06) | $\ldots$ |
| G326.7249 + 00.6159 | 2.32(0.11) | 6.160 .48 | $1.33(0.11)$ | $\ldots$ |
| G328.3067 +00.4308 |  | 16.11(0.98) | 0.87(0.13) | ... |
| G328.8074+00.6324 | 1.47(0.13) | 9.15 (0.85) | 3.21(0.06) | 2.83 (0.17) |
| G328.9580 +00.5671 | $1.05(0.07)$ | 5.65 (0.56) | 0.73(0.06) | ... |
| G329.3371 +00.1469 | 1.56 (0.1) | 9.00 (0.60) | $1.39(0.15)$ | 3.28 (0.11) |
| G329.4055-00.4574 | 1.34(0.2) | 6.03 (0.61) | $1.65(0.08)$ | 2.25 (0.20) |
| G329.4211-00.1631 | 1.61(0.13) | 2.64 (0.36) | $0.55(0.06)$ | ... |
| G329.4761 +00.8414 | 0.41(0.05) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G329.8145 +00.1411 | 1.17(0.07) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G330.2845 + 00.4933 | 0.66(0.03) | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G330.2935-00.3946 | ... | 3.54 (0.34) | ... | ... |
| G330.8845-00.3721 | 1.58(0.1) | 10.6 (0.52) | 2.62(0.05) | 3.54 (0.13) |
| G330.9544-00.1817 | ... | 9.19 (0.58) | $3.31(0.29)$ | 7.60 (0.80) |
| G331.1282-00.2436 | 2.48(0.11) | 7.04 (0.53) | 1.93(0.06) | ... |
| G331.4181-00.3546 | $1.47(0.31)$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G331.5582-00.1206 | 1.58(0.07) | ... | 0.92(0.06) | ... |
| G332.1544-00.4487 | ... | 8.54 (0.54) | .. | $\ldots$ |
| G332.2944-00.0962 | 1.78(0.13) | 5.43 (0.57) | 1.02(0.05) | ... |
| G332.8256-00.5498 | 4.01(0.59) | 16.66(1.81) | $3.98(0.16)$ | 7.89 (0.58) |
| G333.0299-00.0645 | 0.55(0.07) | 3.91 (0.53) | 0.66(0.06) | ... |
| G333.0494+00.0324 | 0.50(0.04) | 3.06 (0.40) | ... | ... |
| G333.1642-00.0994 | 1.06(0.13) | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G336.3684-00.0033 | ... | 3.16 (0.41) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G337.0047 +00.3226 | 0.7 (0.11) | ... | ... | ... |
| G337.4050-00.4071 | 2.28(0.08) | 8.57 (0.41) | 2.94(0.07) | 3.97 (0.07) |
| G337.8442-00.3748 | 1.19(0.07) | 3.91 (0.37) | 0.68(0.05) | ... |
| G337.9947+00.0770 | ... | 4.68 (0.37) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G338.0715 +00.0126 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| G339.1052 +00.1490 | 1.01(0.06) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G340.0708 +00.9267 | 1.29(0.12) | 3.47 (0.40) | 0.7 (0.07) | $\ldots$ |
| G340.2480-00.3725 | 4.24(0.17) | 6.36 (0.51) | 2.39(0.04) | 6.90 (0.47) |
| G342.7057 +00.1260 | 3.24(0.12) | 9.98 (0.56) | 2.43(0.04) | 4.07 (0.22) |
| G344.4257+00.0451 | 1.68(0.07) | 7.39 (0.59) | 1.04(0.06) | 2.94 (0.11) |
| G345.0034-00.2240 | 2.42(0.93) | 3.86 (1.59) | 4.25(0.32) | 11.48 (3.64) |
| G345.4881 + 00.3148 | 3.33(0.21) | 13.39 (0.72) | $3.72(0.16)$ | 4.62 (0.21) |
| G345.6495 +00.0084 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| G346.0774-00.0562 | 1.34(0.22) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| G346.5235 +00.0839 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G347.8707 +00.0146 | $\cdots$ | 4.44 (0.43) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G348.8922-00.1787 | 0.58(0.07) | 2.34 (0.37) | ... | ... |

Table 4
(Continued)

| RMS <br> Name | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} \\ 10^{13} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ 10^{14} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ 10^{13} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNC} \\ 10^{14} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MYSOs |  |  |  |  |
| G305.2017+00.2072 | $\ldots$ | 7.74 (0.48) | 3.11 (0.11) | $\ldots$ |
| G310.0135 + 00.3892 | 1.91 (0.10) | 3.26 (0.26) | 0.69 (0.06) | ... |
| G313.7654-00.8620 | 3.00 (0.24) | 4.61 (0.58) | 1.55 (0.08) | 3.71 (0.23) |
| G314.3197+00.1125 | 1.34 (0.08) | ... | 0.49 (0.06) | ... |
| G318.9480-00.1969 | 3.22 (0.08) | 3.65 (0.24) | 1.68 (0.08) | 4.61 (0.68) |
| G320.2437-00.5619 | 0.47 (0.07) | ... | ... | ... |
| G326.4755+00.6947 | 3.21 (0.11) | 4.96 (0.37) | 1.95 (0.09) | $\ldots$ |
| G326.5437 +00.1684 | 1.18 (0.23) | 5.63 (5.22) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G327.1192+00.5103 | 0.79 (0.06) | 4.42 (0.71) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G329.0663-00.3081 | 2.20 (0.12) | 4.66 (0.47) | 1.81 (0.07) | 2.61 (0.09) |
| G330.9288-00.4070 | 1.32 (0.05) | 3.15 (0.34) | 0.49 (0.07) | 1.91 (0.07) |
| G332.4683-00.5228 | 1.99 (0.10) | 5.79 (0.49) | 1.54 (0.06) | 3.98 (0.17) |
| G332.9636-00.6800 | 4.30 (0.37) | 5.52 (0.48) | 1.98 (0.18) | ... |
| G333.3151+00.1053 | 2.54 (0.12) | 7.31 (0.89) | 1.29 (0.08) | 2.79 (0.12) |
| G333.3752-00.2015 | 0.44 (0.08) | ... | ... | ... |
| G335.0611-00.4261 | 2.05 (0.13) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G337.1555-00.3951 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G338.2801 +00.5419 | 1.57 (0.08) |  | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G338.9196 + 00.5495 | 7.74 (0.36) | 8.45 (0.67) | 3.46 (0.07) | 5.37 (0.29) |
| G339.6221-00.1209 | 2.00 (0.09) | 15.04(1.78) | 0.97 (0.07) | 4.26 (0.31) |
| G341.1281-00.3466 | 0.96 (0.05) | ... | ... | ... |
| G341.2182-00.2136 | 2.81 (0.05) | 3.11 (0.16) | 1.19 (0.11) | ... |
| G342.9583-00.3180 | 1.08 (0.07) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G343.5213-00.5171 | 1.71 (0.14) | ... | 0.49 (0.03) | $\ldots$ |
| G345.2619-00.4188 | 1.37 (0.07) | 1.31 (0.18) | 0.34 (0.05) | ... |
| G345.7172+00.8166 | 2.76 (0.13) | 7.69 (0.71) | 1.14 (0.04) | 3.03 (0.19) |
| G346.4809 + 00.1320 | 0.34 (0.16) | ... | ... | ... |
| G348.6491+00.0225 | 2.26 (0.26) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

(This table is available in machine-readable form.)

The $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ abundances we derived range from $0.4 \times 10^{-10}$ to $1.6 \times 10^{-10}$, with a mean value of $0.9 \times 10^{-10}$ (see Table 6). These values are about 5 times less than previous studies made in IRDCs by Sanhueza et al. (2012) and Vasyunina et al. (2011). Figure 5 also shows the number distributions of the $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ abundances for MYSOs and H II regions. No real change is clear. The K-S test gives a probability of $59 \%$ that they may originate from the same parent population. The bottom panel of Figure 3 shows the relationship between $\chi\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ and $N_{L}$. It also indicates that the abundance of $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ decreases with increasing UV field. One reason may be that in the strong UV field of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions, the reaction $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+h \nu \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}$ dominates instead, leading to the production of $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ being ineffective. However, if this scenario is true, it means that $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ tends to form more $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ over $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$. Figure 6 shows the $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right] /\left[\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]$ relative abundance ratios plotted as a function of $N_{L}$ in logarithmic scales. It can be noted that the $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ abundance does not decrease faster than $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ with respect to $N_{L}$. There must be another scenario for the destruction of $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions. More deep observations and chemical models should be carried out to find this scenario.

### 4.4. HNC and $\mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (Hydrogen Isocyanide)

HNC and its isotopologue $\mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ are prevalent in cold gas (e.g., Bergin \& Tafalla 2007). Hirota et al. (1998) found that
abundances of HNC in OMC-1 are 1-2 orders of magnitude less than those in dark cloud cores, indicating destruction of HNC in hot regions. Sanhueza et al. (2012) also found that the $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HNC}$ abundance ratio slightly decreases as IRDCs evolve (see their Figure 17), suggesting that HNC may be preferentially formed in cold gas. In our study, we found the $\mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ detection rate in MYSOs to be about 2 times higher than in H iI regions ( $39 \%$ versus $20 \%$ ). The HNC abundances we derived in all our RMSs range from $0.5 \times 10^{-9}$ to $5.6 \times 10^{-9}$, with a mean value of $0.2 \times 10^{-8}$. This mean value is about 20 times lower than those found in IRDCs by Sanhueza et al. (2012), which would be consistent with HNC being preferentially formed in the cold gas. Although our mean value is similar to that of Vasyunina et al. (2011), we should mention that in their study, Vasyunina et al. (2011) made an assumption that the emission of HNC is optically thin. This assumption will make column densities at least one order of magnitude lower. We also find a tight correlation between $\chi$ $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$and $\chi(\mathrm{HNC})$ (Figure 7). The functional form of the linear fit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(\mathrm{HNC})=1.44 \times \chi\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a correlation coefficient $r=0.74$. Our study also supports the notion that HNC and $\mathrm{HN}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ are dense cold tracers.

Table 5
Abundances of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, and HNC

| RMS <br> Name | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} \\ 10^{-9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ & 10^{-8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ 10^{-10} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{HNC} \\ & 10^{-8} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H II Regions |  |  |  |  |
| G300.5047-00.1745 |  | 0.56 (0.10) |  | $\ldots$ |
| G301.1364-00.2249 | 0.04 (0.01) | 0.24 (0.03) | 0.40 (0.05) | $\ldots$ |
| G301.8147 +00.7808 | 0.12 (0.04) | 0.58 (0.21) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G302.0319-00.0613 | ... | 1.01 (0.22) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G302.4867-00.0308 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| G307.5606-00.5871 | 0.13 (0.01) | 0.31 (0.05) | 0.63 (0.09) | $\ldots$ |
| G307.6138-00.2559 | 0.40 (0.08) | 1.01 (0.15) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G309.9206 + 00.4790 |  |  | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G310.1420 +00.7583 | 0.12 (0.02) | 0.32 (0.06) | 1.01 (0.16) | $\ldots$ |
| G311.6264 +00.2897 |  |  | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G316.1386-00.5009 | 0.18 (0.03) | 1.07 (0.17) | 0.78 (0.13) | $\ldots$ |
| G317.4298-00.5612 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G318.9148-00.1647 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.60(0.22) | $\ldots$ |
| G320.7779 + 00.2412 | 0.09 (0.03) | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G321.7209 +01.1711 | 0.15 (0.04) | 0.42 (0.13) | 0.60 (0.16) | $\ldots$ |
| G323.4468 +00.0968 | 0.24 (0.03) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G324.1997 +00.1192 | ... | 0.26 (0.06) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G326.4477-00.7485 | 0.23 (0.03) | ... | 0.93 (0.17) | $\ldots$ |
| G326.4719-00.3777 | 0.19 (0.03) | 0.51 (0.08) | 0.73 (0.11) | $\ldots$ |
| G326.7249 +00.6159 | 0.15 (0.02) | 0.39 (0.06) | 0.85 (0.14) | $\ldots$ |
| G328.3067 +00.4308 | ... | 1.21 (0.17) | 0.65 (0.15) | $\ldots$ |
| G328.8074 + 00.6324 | 0.03 (0.01) | 0.16 (0.03) | 0.55 (0.07) | 0.05 (0.01) |
| G328.9580 +00.5671 | 0.15 (0.02) | 0.79 (0.14) | 1.02 (0.17) | ... |
| G329.3371 +00.1469 | 0.13 (0.02) | 0.72 (0.11) | 1.12 (0.21) | 0.26 (0.03) |
| G329.4055-00.4574 | 0.16 (0.05) | 0.40 (0.10) | 1.10 (0.21) | 0.15 (0.04) |
| G329.4211-00.1631 | 0.28 (0.05) | 0.40 (0.10) | 0.82 (0.18) | ... |
| G329.4761+00.8414 | 0.21 (0.04) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G329.8145+00.1411 | 0.23 (0.03) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G330.2845 +00.4933 | 0.14 (0.02) | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G330.2935-00.3946 | ... | 0.32 (0.06) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G330.8845-00.3721 | 0.06 (0.01) | 0.27 (0.03) | 0.67 (0.06) | 0.09 (0.01) |
| G330.9544-00.1817 | ... | 0.14 (0.02) | 0.50 (0.08) | 0.11 (0.02) |
| G331.1282-00.2436 | 0.08 (0.01) | 0.22 (0.03) | 0.60 (0.05) | ... |
| G331.4181-00.3546 | 0.26 (0.09) | ... | ... | ... |
| G331.5582-00.1206 | 0.10 (0.01) | ... | 0.49 (0.07) | $\ldots$ |
| G332.1544-00.4487 |  | 1.14 (0.13) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G332.2944-00.0962 | 0.13 (0.02) | 0.31 (0.07) | 0.58 (0.09) | ... |
| G332.8256-00.5498 | 0.07 (0.02) | 0.27 (0.07) | 0.65 (0.12) | 0.13 (0.03) |
| G333.0299-00.0645 | 0.12 (0.04) | 0.85 (0.27) | 1.43 (0.38) | ... |
| G333.0494+00.0324 | 0.13 (0.02) | 0.80 (0.19) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G333.1642-00.0994 | 0.81 (0.22) | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G336.3684-00.0033 | ... | 0.20 (0.04) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G337.0047+00.3226 | 0.14 (0.04) | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G337.4050-00.4071 | 0.07 (0.01) | 0.22 (0.02) | 0.77 (0.06) | 0.10 (0.01) |
| G337.8442-00.3748 | 0.19 (0.03) | 0.63 (0.11) | 1.09 (0.17) | ... |
| G337.9947 +00.0770 | ... | 0.80 (0.13) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G338.0715 +00.0126 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| G339.1052 +00.1490 | 0.21 (0.03) | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G340.0708 +00.9267 | 0.24 (0.05) | 0.58 (0.13) | 1.18 (0.24) | ... |
| G340.2480-00.3725 | 0.33 (0.04) | 0.45 (0.07) | 1.67 (0.16) | 0.48 (0.07) |
| G342.7057 +00.1260 | 0.23 (0.03) | 0.65 (0.09) | 1.59 (0.15) | 0.27 (0.04) |
| G344.4257 +00.0451 | 0.22 (0.03) | 0.86 (0.14) | 1.21 (0.17) | 0.34 (0.04) |
| G345.0034-00.2240 | 0.11 (0.09) | 0.09 (0.08) | 1.03 (0.45) | 0.28 (0.21) |
| G345.4881+00.3148 | 0.10 (0.01) | 0.37 (0.05) | 1.04 (0.13) | 0.13 (0.02) |
| G345.6495 +00.0084 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| G346.0774-00.0562 | 0.33 (0.12) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G346.5235 +00.0839 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G347.8707+00.0146 | ... | 0.61 (0.12) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G348.8922-00.1787 | 0.10 (0.02) | 0.42 (0.11) | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |

Table 5
(Continued)

| RMS <br> Name | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} \\ 10^{-9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ & 10^{-8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 10^{-10} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNC} \\ 10^{-8} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MYSOs |  |  |  |  |
| G305.2017+00.2072 | $\ldots$ | 0.24 (0.03) | 0.97 (0.11) | $\ldots$ |
| G310.0135+00.3892 | 0.23 (0.03) | 0.40 (0.06) | 0.84 (0.14) | $\ldots$ |
| G313.7654-00.8620 | 0.25 (0.05) | 0.39 (0.09) | 1.31 (0.20) | 0.31 (0.05) |
| G314.3197+00.1125 | 0.19 (0.03) | ... | 0.68 (0.14) | ... |
| G318.9480-00.1969 | 0.19 (0.02) | 0.21 (0.03) | 0.98 (0.12) | 0.27 (0.06) |
| G320.2437-00.5619 | 0.08 (0.02) | ... | ... | ... |
| G326.4755+00.6947 | 0.08 (0.01) | 0.13 (0.02) | 0.51 (0.06) | $\ldots$ |
| G326.5437+00.1684 | 0.12 (0.05) | 0.56 (0.73) | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G327.1192 +00.5103 | 0.10 (0.02) | 0.56 (0.14) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G329.0663-00.3081 | 0.17 (0.02) | 0.36 (0.07) | 1.39 (0.16) | 0.20 (0.02) |
| G330.9288-00.4070 | 0.14 (0.02) | 0.34 (0.07) | 0.53 (0.12) | 0.21 (0.02) |
| G332.4683-00.5228 | 0.22 (0.03) | 0.63 (0.10) | 1.67 (0.20) | 0.43 (0.05) |
| G332.9636-00.6800 | 0.20 (0.03) | 0.26 (0.04) | 0.92 (0.16) |  |
| G333.3151+00.1053 | 0.27 (0.04) | 0.78 (0.18) | 1.37 (0.23) | 0.30 (0.04) |
| G333.3752-00.2015 | 0.09 (0.03) | ... | ... | ... |
| G335.0611-00.4261 | 0.14 (0.02) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G337.1555-00.3951 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| G338.2801+00.5419 | 0.22 (0.03) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| G338.9196+00.5495 | 0.13 (0.02) | 0.15 (0.02) | 0.59 (0.06) | 0.09 (0.01) |
| G339.6221-00.1209 | 0.26 (0.03) | 1.96 (0.40) | 1.27 (0.19) | 0.56 (0.09) |
| G341.1281-00.3466 | 0.14 (0.02) | ... | ... | ... |
| G341.2182-00.2136 | 0.22 (0.01) | 0.24 (0.03) | 0.94 (0.14) | $\ldots$ |
| G342.9583-00.3180 | 0.31 (0.04) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| G343.5213-00.5171 | 0.26 (0.06) | ... | 0.75 (0.15) | $\ldots$ |
| G345.2619-00.4188 | 0.30 (0.03) | 0.28 (0.05) | 0.74 (0.15) | ... |
| G345.7172+00.8166 | 0.24 (0.03) | 0.66 (0.12) | 0.98 (0.11) | 0.26 (0.04) |
| G346.4809 + 00.1320 | 0.12 (0.08) | ... | ... | ... |
| G348.6491+00.0225 | 0.46 (0.10) |  |  |  |

(This table is available in machine-readable form.)

Table 6
Average Column Densities and Molecular Abundances Compared with Other Works

| Different Works | Type | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | HNC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Column Densities ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| This work | RMSs | $1.5 \times 10^{13}$ | $6.4 \times 10^{14}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{13}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{14}$ |
| Sanhueza et al. (2012) | IRDCs | $1.6 \times 10^{13}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{14}$ | $4.8 \times 10^{13}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{14}$ |
| Yu et al. (2015) | EGOs | $6.5 \times 10^{13}$ | $5.7 \times 10^{14}$ |  | ... |
| Chen et al. (2013) | EGOs | $3.0 \times 10^{13}$ | ... | $3.2 \times 10^{13}$ | $\ldots$ |
| Molecular Abundances |  |  |  |  |  |
| This work | RMSs | $0.2 \times 10^{-9}$ | $0.5 \times 10^{-8}$ | $0.9 \times 10^{-10}$ | $0.2 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| Vasyunina et al. (2011) | IRDCs | $2.8 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-8}$ | $5.4 \times 10^{-10}$ | $0.2 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| Sanhueza et al. (2012) | IRDCs | $2.4 \times 10^{-9}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-8}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-8}$ |

### 4.5. Why Indistinguishable?

RMSs are regarded as excellent candidates of massive starforming regions. Multiwavelength observations have been carried out to study their physical parameters. However, their chemical properties are less studied. In this paper, we selected 87 RMSs to study their chemical properties. We found that the column densities of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, and HNC are not much
different from previous observations made in IRDCs and EGOs. However, their abundances are relatively low, indicating depletions of them in the late stages of massive star formation, especially those of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$and HNC . The values of $\chi$ $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$and $\chi(\mathrm{HNC})$ in our sample are at least 1 mag lower than those found in IRDCs. This may be because our RMSs are relatively more evolved. In our two subgroups of MYSOs and H II regions, K-S tests suggest that the differences of their


Figure 2. Histograms of the number distributions of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$abundances for our total RMSs (top), MYSOs (middle), and H II regions (bottom). The dashed lines indicate mean values.
chemical properties are not significant. Two reasons may be responsible for this. First, the angular resolution of our MALT90 data is not high enough. At 2.9 kpc , the $38^{\prime \prime}$ beam dilution corresponds to over half a parsec. Therefore, MALT90 probes not only star-forming cores but also diffuse envelopes around. Second, our source selections are mainly based on the work of Lumsden et al. $(2002,2013)$ and Urquhart et al. (2007). On the other hand, our sources are also involved in the


Figure 3. Fractional abundances of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$(top), $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (middle), and $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (bottom) plotted as a function of $N_{L}$ in logarithmic scales.
work of Guzmán et al. (2015). By combining other sky survey data taken with the Herschel and Spitzer telescopes, Guzmán et al. (2015) divided their sample into "Quiescent," "Protostellar," "H II region," and "PDR" clumps. A total of 49 out of 59 ( $83 \%$ ) of our H II regions are consistent with their classifications. This means that our source selection criteria for $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions are reliable. However, according to their classification, our 28 MYSOs involve 19 protostellar and 9 H II


Figure 4. Histograms of the number distributions of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ abundances for our total RMSs (top), H II regions (middle), and MYSOs (bottom). The dashed lines indicate mean values.
regions. Even though all of our MYSO candidates are radioquiet and have similar infrared colors to already-known MYSOs, most of them also show bright emissions at $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. This suggests that our MYSO candidates are probably in the late protostellar stages and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions may form inside in the near future.


Figure 5. Histograms of the number distributions of $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ abundances for our total RMSs (top), H II regions (middle), and MYSOs (bottom). The dashed lines indicate mean values.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied chemical properties of 87 RMSs in the southern sky, using archival data taken from APEX, ATCA, and Mopra. According to previous multiwavelength observations, we divide our sample into two groups: MYSOs and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions. We find that the column densities of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$,


Figure 6. $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right] /\left[\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]$ relative abundance ratio plotted as a function of $N_{L}$ in logarithmic scales.


Figure 7. HNC fractional abundance plotted as a function of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$abundance. The solid line shows the least-squares fit to the data.
$\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, and HNC are not much different from previous studies made in other massive star-forming regions. However, their abundances are relatively low compared to IRDCs. The abundances of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$and HNC in our sample are at least 1 mag lower than those found in IRDCs, indicating chemical depletions in the relatively hot gas. The fractional abundances of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ seem to decrease as a function of their Lyman continuum fluxes, indicating that these molecules could be destroyed when H II regions have formed. Besides, the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ abundance seems to decrease faster than $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions. The abundance of HNC has a tight correlation with that of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$, indicating that it may be also preferentially formed in cold gas. We regard that our RMSs are in a relatively late evolutionary stage of massive star formation.
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