

ADDENDUM

Addendum: A geodesic principle for strong coupling gravity (2015 *Class. Quantum Grav.* [32 215022](#))

To cite this article: Max Niedermaier 2016 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **33** 179401

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Vision measurement for flat parts based on local line-angle contour segmentation](#)
Shifu Huang, Hengfeng Qin and Zhaofeng Zhou
- [A point-line-plane primitives fused localization and object-oriented semantic mapping in structural indoor scenes](#)
Linlin Xia, Jiashuo Cui, Xinying Li et al.
- [On the reproducibility of extrusion-based bioprinting: round robin study on standardization in the field](#)
David Grijalva Garces, Svenja Strauß, Sarah Gretzinger et al.

Addendum

Addendum: A geodesic principle for strong coupling gravity (2015 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **32** 215022)

Max Niedermaier

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, 100 Allen Hall
Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

E-mail: mnie@pitt.edu

Received 6 June 2016

Accepted for publication 19 July 2016

Published 1 August 2016



CrossMark

Keywords: strong coupling gravity, geodesic principle, Carroll group

The derivation of the geodesic congruence equations $\mathcal{E}_0 = 0 = \mathcal{E}_a$ from equation (3.1), while correct, entails the undesirable restriction $\mathcal{D} = 0$ as defined in (3.6). Since $\mathcal{D} = \sqrt{g} \nabla^\mu P_\mu$, $g = \det g_{\mu\nu}$, measures the expansion of the congruence the background geometry would be constrained by requiring vanishing expansion, and correspondingly for strong coupling gravity. A derivation without this limitation proceeds as follows. Defining the acceleration by $\dot{P}_\mu := P_\nu \nabla^\nu P_\mu$ its components can be read off from $\int dt dx \sqrt{g} \xi^\mu \dot{P}_\mu = \int dt dx \sqrt{g} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_\xi g^{\mu\nu} P_\mu P_\nu - \xi^\mu P_\mu \nabla^\nu P_\nu \right\}$. Inserting (3.3) and (3.4) one finds that in the $1+d$ components $\dot{P}_\mu = (\dot{P}_0, \dot{P}_a)$ the acceleration \mathcal{D} drops out

$$\dot{P}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0 + \frac{\epsilon_g}{N} N^a \mathcal{E}_a, \quad \dot{P}_a = \frac{\epsilon_g}{N} \mathcal{E}_a, \quad (1)$$

showing that $\dot{P}_0 = 0 = \dot{P}_a$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{E}_0 = 0 = \mathcal{E}_a$ alone. Since (3.3) and (3.4) have well-defined strong coupling limits one can define $\dot{P}_0^\infty, \dot{P}_a^\infty$ as the coefficients of ξ^0, ξ^a in the analogous computation, with the result

$$\dot{P}_0 = \epsilon_g \phi e_0(\phi) + N^a \dot{P}_a^\infty, \quad \dot{P}_a^\infty = \frac{\epsilon_g \phi}{N} [e_0(P_a) - \phi \partial_a N]. \quad (2)$$

Here $\mathcal{D}^\infty := \epsilon_g e_0(\sqrt{q} \phi)$ cancels and (2) coincides with the scaling limit (A.2) of (1).

In the transcription of the $\partial_a \xi^0$ coefficient from equations (3.22) to (3.23) a term $N^b \mathcal{E}_b^\infty$ has been dropped by mistake and the $\partial_a \mathcal{E}_a^\infty$ term should read $\partial_t \mathcal{E}_a^\infty$. The corrected equation (3.23), i.e. $\delta_\xi^\infty \mathcal{E}_a^\infty = \xi^0 \partial_t \mathcal{E}_a^\infty + \mathcal{L}_\xi \mathcal{E}_a^\infty + \partial_a \xi^0 [e_0(\phi) + N^b \mathcal{E}_b^\infty]$, in combination with (3.19) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\xi^\infty \dot{P}_0^\infty &= \partial_t(\xi^0 \dot{P}_0^\infty) + \xi^a \partial_a \dot{P}_0^\infty + \partial_t \xi^a \dot{P}_a^\infty, \\ \delta_\xi^\infty \dot{P}_a^\infty &= \xi^0 \partial_t \dot{P}_a^\infty + \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\xi}} \dot{P}_a^\infty + \partial_a \xi^0 \dot{P}_0^\infty.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

Under the strong coupling realization of the diffeomorphism group the acceleration $(\dot{P}_0^\infty, \dot{P}_a^\infty)$ thus transforms like (P_0, P_a) in (3.18) and the conditions $\dot{P}_0^\infty - \alpha \dot{P}_0 = 0$, $\dot{P}_a^\infty - \alpha \dot{P}_a = 0$, are manifestly gauge invariant. Using $\alpha = \epsilon_g e_0(\phi)/N$ the non-redundant condition on a geodesic congruence in strong coupling gravity is

$$e_0(\phi) P_a - \phi [e_0(\phi) - \phi \partial_a N] = 0.\tag{4}$$

Imposing $\alpha = 0$ reproduces (3.17) but without the undesirable constraint $e_0(\sqrt{q} \phi) = 0$. Note that $\alpha = 0$ does not restrict the ‘norm’ $\epsilon_g \phi^2$ of (P_0, P_a) to be a spatiotemporal constant.