



## ERRATUM

## Dynamics of order parameters for globally coupled oscillators

To cite this article: S. De Monte and F. d'Ovidio 2002 EPL 59 477

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

## You may also like

- Long-range interaction induced collective dynamical behaviors
  K Sathiyadevi, V K Chandrasekar, D V Senthilkumar et al.
- <u>Chimera states: Effects of different</u> <u>coupling topologies</u> Bidesh K. Bera, Soumen Majhi, Dibakar Ghosh et al.
- <u>The changing notion of chimera states, a</u> <u>critical review</u> Sindre W Haugland

EUROPHYSICS LETTERS Europhys. Lett., **59** (3), pp. 477–478 (2002) Erratum

## Dynamics of order parameters for globally coupled oscillators

S. De  $MONTE^{1,2}$  and F. D'OVIDIO<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Bld. 309, Technical University of Denmark DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark
<sup>2</sup> Institute for Mathematics, University of Vienna Strudlhofgasse 4, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

(Europhys. Lett., 58 (1), pp. 21-27 (2002))

PACS. 05.45.-a – Nonlinear dynamics and nonlinear dynamical systems.

Due to a technical problem in printing, part of the symbols in figs. 1 and 3 completely disappeared. We publish here under the complete figures sincerely apologizing to the authors for the unpleasant inconvenience.



Fig. 1 – The estimated values for the amplitude of the oscillations of the centroid Z and of the second-order parameter W (eq. (7)) vs. the standard deviation  $\sigma$  (solid lines) are compared to those numerically computed according to eq. (1). Populations with different size and frequency distribution are considered: N = 800, Gaussian distribution ( $\Delta$ ); N = 800 uniform distribution ( $\nabla$ ); N = 5, uniform distribution ( $\triangleleft$ ); N = 2 ( $\triangleright$ ).

© EDP Sciences



Fig. 3 – The transient behaviour predicted by eq. (5) (solid line) is compared to that of the full system eq. (1) (triangles) and of its zeroth-order approximation eq. (3) (dashed line) for  $\sigma = 0.5$  and K = 3. The initial states have the same centroid's position |Z|, but different |W| (symbols as in fig. 1). The validity of the closure assumption can be checked also numerically by noticing that the term  $|\langle (\omega - \omega_0)^2 \epsilon \rangle|$  (dotted line) remains significantly smaller than |W| along the whole trajectory.