## PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Combined Inhibition Effect of 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium Chloride + Glycine on Methane Hydrate

To cite this article: Cornelius B. Bavoh et al 2018 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1123 012060

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- <u>Kinetics of Mixed Amino Acid and Ionic</u> <u>Liquid on CO., Hydrate Formation</u> Cornelius B. Bavoh, Bhajan Lal, Joel Ben-Awuah et al.
- <u>Relative Roles of H<sub>2</sub> O<sub>2</sub> and Glycine in</u> <u>CMP of Copper Studied with Impedance</u> <u>Spectroscopy</u> J. Lu, J. E. Garland, C. M. Pettit et al.
- <u>A Reflectron Time-of-Flight Mass</u> Spectrometric Study on the Degradation Pathways of Glycine on Mars in the Presence of Perchlorates and Ionizing Radiation

Sándor Góbi, Marko Förstel, Pavlo Maksyutenko et al.





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 18.219.95.244 on 09/05/2024 at 11:32

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1123 (2018) 012060 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1123/1/012060

# Combined Inhibition Effect of 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium **Chloride + Glycine on Methane Hydrate**

Cornelius B. Bavohua, Bhajan Lalua, Muhammad Saad Khana, Harrison Osei<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Ayuob<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chemical Engineering Department, Universiti Teknologi of PETRONAS, Bandar Seri Iskandar, 32610, Perak, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> Research Centre (CO2RES), Bandar Seri Iskandar, 32610, Perak, Malaysia. <sup>3</sup>Petroleum Engineering Department, University of Mines and Technology, Tarkwa, P.O Box 237, Ghana.

bhajan.lal@utp.edu.my, bavohcornelius@gmail.com

Abstract. Ionic liquids and amino acids are recently introduced as novel gas hydrate inhibitors. However, they show less inhibition impact as compared to commercial gas hydrate inhibitors. Therefore, testing the combined effort of some of the best performed ionic liquids and amino acids in open literature would be necessary to understand and probably increase their inhibition performance. In this study, the synergic thermodynamic inhibition effect of 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (EMIM-Cl) + glycine on methane hydrate is reported. A sapphire hydrate cell and the T-cycle method was used within the pressures and temperatures ranges of 3 - 11 MPa and 274 – 286 K, respectively. Surprisingly the inhibition preformation of the mixed 1-Ethyl-3methy-limidazolium chloride + glycine at 10 wt.% was found to be slightly less than pure 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine at same concentration, the results were all found to generally be in the same range with their pure systems (pure 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine at 10wt%). This behaviour is probably due to the colligative properties of 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine, thus, causing a relatively equal inhibition impact.

#### 1. Introduction

Gas hydrates are crystalline compounds that consist of a gas as the "guest", and water as the "host" molecule. Under conditions favoring gas hydrate formation (low temperatures and high pressures), guest molecules are trapped inside "cages" formed by water molecules linked through hydrogen bonds [1], [2]. The crystal structures of gas hydrates are determined by the guest-to-cage size ratio and the formation conditions [3], [4]. Gas hydrate formation can cause blockages in natural gas transmission lines because of its solid, nonflowing crystalline structures [5]. As a result of these findings, the oil and gas industries have dedicated much attention to the improvement of flow assurance technologies which are critical, especially during transportation under deep waters environments.

One of the attractive strategies to reduce the risk of plugging in pipelines is the injection of thermodynamic hydrate inhibitors (THIs), which are able to shift the phase equilibria to lower temperatures and higher-pressures regions [6]. The phase equilibrium conditions for gas hydrate in the

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

**IOP** Publishing

presence of conventional THIs such as methanol and glycols have been extensively studied over many years. However, conventional THIs have several problems. In particular, the toxic nature of these chemicals causes serious environmental pollution in ecological systems and critical damage to the polymer used to seal pipelines [7]. There have been many attempts to use biodegradable low-dosage hydrate inhibitors (LDHIs). However, the capabilities of LDHIs to prevent hydrate formation are associated with uncertainties arising from the stochastic nature of hydrate nucleation and other kinetic factors [8].

Currently, there is a continuous search for novel THIs that can solve the problems that limits present techniques. In searching for new THI chemicals, ionic liquids (ILs) and amino acids are recently reported as novel THIs for gas hydrate mitigations [9], [10]. Its known that, they both inhibits gas hydrate due to their hydrogen bonding potentials which basically arises from the anions of ILs [11]–[14] and the amine and carboxyl functional groups of amino acids [10], [15]–[17]. These recent discoveries have led to much research [18]–[20] in selecting the best ILs or amino acids that can perform better than the conventional THIs. Most especially imidazolium base ILs and natural amino acids have received much attention and studies. Literature shows that 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and Glycine are one of the best gas hydrate inhibitors among the imidazolium ionic liquid family and naturally occurring amino acids. The average methane hydrate formation suppression temperature for 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine at 10 wt.% are 1.7 K and 1.78 K, respectively [21], [16].

Nonetheless, these inhibition performance is relatively weak as against 2.5 K – 5 K for conventional THIs, therefore a synergist study of the best performed ILs and amino acids inhibitors is encouraging to develop effective THIs. In addition, the scarcity of ILs + amino acids synergistic study in open literature is a motivating factor for conducting this study. In this study, the synergic thermodynamic effect of 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride (ILs) + glycine (amino acid) on methane hydrate formation is studied in an isochoric mode at a total concentration of 10 wt.% synergy (5 wt.% 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride + 5 wt.% glycine).

### 2. Methodology

### 2.1. Materials

The surface charge distribution structure of the chemicals used in this work are shown in Fig. 1. Glycine (*purity 99.7%*) and 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride (EMIM-Cl) (*purity 98%*) was supplied by Merck. They were all used without further purification. Methane with purity of 99.995% was supplied by Gas Walker Sdn Bhd, Malaysia. Deionized water was used in preparing all solutions. Samples were prepared using gravimetric method using HR-250AZ analytical balance with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.0003$  g. The chemicals were tested at 10 wt%, which consist of 50:50 % synergy of 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine.





**IOP** Publishing

### 2.2. Experimental apparatus and Hydrate phase behavior measurement procedure

The hydrate experimental apparatus and procedure validations employed in this study to test 1-Ethyl-3methy-limidazolium chloride + glycine solution is described in elsewhere [16]-[19]. A 29 ml high pressure sapphire hydrate reactor is used. The reactor can work up to 20 MPa and 338.15 K, respectively. The isochoric T-cycle method [14], [20] is employed to measure the hydrate phase equilibrium data. Before the experimentation, the reactor is cleaned, and then the system temperature is set to about 2-3 K above the desired hydrate equilibrium temperature of the testing experimental pressure. 18 ml of 5 wt.% + 5 wt.% 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride + glycine solution is loaded into the reactor using a hand pump. The system is then pressurized with methane with aid of a booster to the desired experimental pressure. The system left to stabilize the stirrer turned on. When the system is stabilized, the system temperature is reduced fast to 273 K at 4 K/h for hydrate to form. The formation of hydrate in the reactor is noticed upon observing a sharp system pressure drop and visually through the reactor. When hydrates are formed, the system is initially heated fast at 4 K/h to about 5-6 K near the desired hydrate dissociation temperature. then, the system is heated stepwise at an interval of 0.5 K/step with a holding time of 3 hours per step. A computer data recording system is used to continuously record the pressure and temperatures changes in the reactor with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$  K and  $\pm 0.01$  MPa, respectively. The point of intersection where the heating curve meets with the cooling curve in the pressure-temperature plot is taken as the hydrate equilibrium point.

#### 3. Results and Discussions

In this study, the experimental data for pure 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine at 10 wt.% are obtained from literature [21], [16] and are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 2, respectively. As observed in Fig. 2, the presence of pure 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine significantly inhibits methane hydrate formation by moving its hydrate formation conditions to lower temperatures and high pressures regions.

Nonetheless, the presence of 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride + glycine also shows methane hydrate inhibition impacts. Surprisingly, the synergic inhibition impacts of 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride + glycine is relatively similar to pure 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine as illustrated in Fig. 2. Both 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine inhibit hydrate by disrupting water activity in hydrate formation via forming hydrogen bonds with water molecules [10], [22], [17], [23]. The inhibition impact of mixed 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine was expected to boost the performance of their pure components, instead a similar trend was observed. This surprising result suggests an equal combined colligative activity of 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine on water activity to prevent hydrate formation.

| Pure water [16] |        | Glycine [16] |        | EMIM-Cl [21] |       | EMIM-Cl+ Glycine  |       |
|-----------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
|                 |        | (10 wt.%)    |        | (10 wt.%)    |       | (5 wt.% + 5 wt.%) |       |
| P (MPa)         | T (K)  | P (MPa)      | T (K)  | P (MPa)      | T (K) | P (MPa)           | T (K) |
| 4.58            | 279.00 | 4.65         | 277.25 | 3.47         | 274.3 | 4.7               | 277.8 |
| 6.09            | 281.60 | 6.10         | 280.00 | 4.85         | 277.7 | 6.5               | 281   |
| 7.40            | 283.40 | 7.62         | 282.10 | 6.43         | 280.3 | 7.7               | 282.6 |
| 9.70            | 286.00 | 9.98         | 284.50 | 8.06         | 282.5 | 9.99              | 284.9 |

Table 1. Methane hydrate phase boundary data points in the presence of tested inhibitors

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series **1123** (2018) 012060 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1123/1/012060



Figure 2. The methane hydrate phase boundary in the presence of inhibitors.

Though the synergic inhibition impact of 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine are in the same range, there seem to be a slight higher inhibition impact of pure 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine over the mixed sample (see Fig. 2). This slight less inhibition performance of the mixed 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine system from their pure components might be due to the interaction between 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine. Which may result in a steric orientation of the hydrogen bonded water structure to align in a way which will reduce the methane hydrate inhibition impact. Therefore, it can be deduced that, 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride + glycine synergic systems does not improve the hydrate inhibition performance of their pure system at the same concentration. However, this observation may be different when different type and family of IL and amino acids are tested. It must be stated that the molecular interaction between 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine is still not very clear and more analysis such as XRD or DLS is need to give a better understanding the effects of mix 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine (or ionic liquids and glycine) on hydrate formation.

#### 4. Conclusions

Herein, the synergetic thermodynamic effect of the best reported ionic liquid (1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride) and amino acid (glycine) on the methane hydrate formation has been studied using a sapphire hydrate cell in an isochoric mode. The results revealed that the inhibition impact of mixed 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride and glycine at 10 wt.% (50/50) is generally similar to their pure components at the same concentration. Thus, suggesting that, the 1-Ethyl-3-methy-limidazolium chloride + glycine synergic systems, does not improve the hydrate inhibition performance of their pure system. It is recommended that, further studies on different Types and families of ionic liquids and amino acids, as well as different hydrate formers at different operational conditions alongside molecular dynamics are required for the development of effective thermodynamic hydrate inhibitors. IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1123 (2018) 012060 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1123/1/012060

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS for their financial support.

#### References

- [1] Sloan E. D. and Koh C. A. 2007 *Clathrate hydrates of natural gases*, 3rd Ed., vol. 87. (Baca Raton: CRC Press).
- [2] Broni-Bediako E., Amorin R., and Bavoh C. B. 2017 Gas Hydrate Formation Phase Boundary Behaviour of Synthetic Natural Gas System of the Keta Basin of Ghana Open Pet. Eng. J. 10 64– 72
- [3] Jeffery G. A. 1972 Pentagonal Dedecahedral Water Structure in Crystalline Hydrates *Mat. Res. Bull* 7 1259–1270
- [4] Ripmeester J. A., Tse J. S., Ratcliffe C. I., and Powell B. M. A new clathrate hydrate structure 1987 *Nature*, **325** 135–136.
- [5] Hammerschmidt E. G. 1934 Formation of gas hydrates in natural gas transmission lines *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **26** 851–855
- [6] Koh C. A. 2002 Towards a fundamental understanding of natural gas hydrates *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **31**, 157–167
- [7] Koh C. A., Sloan E. D., Sum A. K., and Wu D. T. 2011 Fundamentals and Applications of Gas Hydrates Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng. 2 237–257
- [8] Kelland M. A. 2006 History of the Development of Low Dosage Hydrate Inhibitors," *Energy Fuels* 20 825–847
- [9] Xiao C. and Adidharma H. 2009 Dual function inhibitors for methane hydrate Chem. Eng. Sci. 64 1522–1527
- [10] Sa J. H., Lee B. R., Park D. H., Han K., Chun H. D., and Lee K. H. 2011 Amino acids as natural inhibitors for hydrate formation in CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **45** 5885–5891
- [11] Bavoh C. B., Lal B., Nashed O., Khan M. S., Lau K. K., and Bustam M. A. 2016 COSMO-RS: An ionic liquid prescreening tool for gas hydrate mitigation *Chinese J. Chem. Eng.***11** 1619-1624
- [12] Tariq M., Rooney D., Othman E., Aparicio S., Atilhan M., and Khraisheh M. 2014 Gas Hydrate Inhibition : A Review of the Role of Ionic Liquids *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **53** 17855–17868
- [13] Khan M. S., Liew C. S., Kurnia K. A., Cornelius B., and Lal B. 2016 Application of COSMO-RS in Investigating Ionic Liquid as Thermodynamic Hydrate Inhibitor for Methane Hydrate *Proceedia Eng.* 148 862–869
- [14] Khan M. S., Partoon B., Bavoh C. B., Lal B., and Mellon N. B. 2017 Influence of tetramethylammonium hydroxide on methane and carbon dioxide gas hydrate phase equilibrium conditions *Fluid Phase Equilib.* 440 1–8
- [15] Sa J.-H., Kwak G.-H., Han K., Ahn D., Cho S. J., Lee J. D., and Lee K.-H. 2016 Inhibition of methane and natural gas hydrate formation by altering the structure of water with amino acids *Sci. Rep.* 6 31582.
- [16] Bavoh C. B., Partoon B., Lal B., and Keong L. K. 2016 Methane hydrate-liquid-vapourequilibrium phase condition measurements in the presence of natural amino acids J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng. 37 425–434
- [17] Bavoh C. B., Partoon B., Lal B., Gonfa G., Foo Khor S., and Sharif A. M. 2017 Inhibition Effect of Amino Acids on Carbon Dioxide Hydrate *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 171 331–339
- [18] Bavoh C. B., Lal B., Keong L. K., Jasamai M. binti, and Idress M. binti 2016 Synergic Kinetic Inhibition Effect of EMIM-Cl + PVP on CO2 Hydrate Formation *Proceedia Eng.* 148 1232–1238
- [19] Bavoh C. B., Partoon B., Keong L. K., Lal B., and Wilfred C. D. 2016 Eeffect of 1-ethyl-3methylimidazolium chloride and polyvinylpyrrolidone on kineticss of carbon dioxide hydrates," *Int. J. Appl. Chem.* 12 6–11
- [20] Khan M. S., Bavoh C. B., Partoon B., Lal B., Bustam M. A., and Shariff A. M. 2017

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1123 (2018) 012060 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1123/1/012060

Thermodynamic effect of ammonium based ionic liquids on  $CO_2$  hydrates phase boundary *J. Mol. Liq.* **238** 533-539

- [21] Long Z., Zhou X., Shen X., Li D., and Liang D. 2015 Phase Equilibria and Dissociation Enthalpies of Methane Hydrate in Imidazolium Ionic Liquid Aqueous Solutions Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 54, 11701–11708
- [22] Xiao C., Wibisono N., and Adidharma H. 2010 Dialkylimidazolium halide ionic liquids as dual function inhibitors for methane hydrate *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **65** 3080–3087
- [23] Richard A. R. and Adidharma H. 2013 The performance of ionic liquids and their mixtures in inhibiting methane hydrate formation *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **87** 270–276