PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Accuracy Improvement of Magnetic Hysteresis Calculated by LLG Equation

To cite this article: H Tanaka et al 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 903 012048

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Quantum Brownian motion for magnets J Anders, C R J Sait and S A R Horsley
- <u>Self-similar solutions of the onedimensional Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert</u> <u>equation</u> Susana Gutiérrez and André de Laire
- <u>Spin dynamics and relaxation in the</u> <u>classical-spin Kondo-impurity model</u> <u>beyond the Landau–Lifschitz–Gilbert</u> <u>equation</u>

Mohammad Sayad and Michael Potthoff





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 18.216.32.116 on 10/05/2024 at 01:44

Accuracy Improvement of Magnetic Hysteresis Calculated by LLG Equation

H Tanaka¹, K Nakamura¹ and O Ichinokura¹

¹Graduate School of Engineering, Tohoku University, 6-6-05 Aoba Aramaki Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

E-mail: hideaki.tanaka.s7@dc.tohoku.ac.jp

Abstract. Quantitative estimation of iron loss including magnetic hysteresis behavior is essential to the development of high-efficient electrical machines. A simplified micromagnetic model using Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation is one of the useful models for calculating the hysteresis behavior. However, further improvement of the calculation accuracy under magnetic saturation is required. This paper presents the accuracy improvement of the magnetic hysteresis calculated by the LLG equation.

1. Introduction

Quantitative analysis of iron loss including magnetic hysteresis behavior is necessary to the development of high-efficient electrical machines. A lot of methods for calculating the hysteresis behavior have been proposed. Among them, a simplified micromagnetic model using Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation [1] is one of the useful models for calculating the hysteresis behavior. In a previous paper [2], the magnetic circuit model combined with the above-mentioned LLG equation was proposed, in which dc hysteresis is expressed by the above-mentioned LLG equation, while classical and anomalous eddy current losses are calculated by magnetic circuit elements. The proposed model can calculate the hysteresis loops of various materials under various exciting voltage waveforms. However, further improvement of the calculation accuracy under magnetic saturation is required.

To calculate the hysteresis loops with high accuracy, first, the equation expressing the magnetoelastic energy in [1] is improved to express the strong magnetic nonlinearity. Second, the equation for the magnetic anisotropy field in [1] is also improved to express the shape of the hysteresis loop with high accuracy.

2. Accuracy improvement of magnetic hysteresis calculated by LLG equation

The motion of the magnetizations in a magnetic substance can be represented by the following LLG equation:

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{m}_{i}}{dt} = -\frac{|\boldsymbol{\gamma}|}{1+\alpha^{2}} \{ (\boldsymbol{m}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{H}_{eff}) + \alpha (\boldsymbol{m}_{i} \times (\boldsymbol{m}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{H}_{eff})) \} , \qquad (1)$$

where the magnetization vector is $M_i = M_s m_i$, the spontaneous magnetization is M_s , the normalized magnetization vector is m_i , the gyromagnetic ratio of electron is γ , the damping constant is α , and the effective field is H_{eff} , respectively.

In the reference [1], the effective field H_{eff} in equation (1) is given by

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series **903** (2017) 012048 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/903/1/012048

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{eff} = \boldsymbol{H}_{app} + \boldsymbol{H}_{ela} + \boldsymbol{H}_{ani}$$
(2)

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{ela} = -\frac{1}{M_{ela}} \frac{\partial E_{ela}}{\partial \boldsymbol{m}_{i}}, \quad E_{ela} = b_{2} \overline{m}^{2} + b_{4} \overline{m}^{4} + b_{6} \overline{m}^{6}, \quad (3)$$

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{ani} = -\frac{1}{M_s} \frac{\partial E_{ani}}{\partial \boldsymbol{m}_i}, \ \boldsymbol{E}_{ani} = \frac{h_{ani}M_s}{2} (a_1^2 a_2^2 + a_2^2 a_3^2 + a_3^2 a_1^2),$$
(4)

where the applied field is H_{app} , the field generated by magnetoelastic energy is H_{ela} , the anisotropy field is H_{ani} , the magnetoelastic energy is E_{ela} , the parameters of Taylor expansion are b_2 , b_4 , and b_6 , the magnetic anisotropy energy is E_{ani} , the coefficient of magnetic anisotropy is h_{ani} , and the direction cosines of magnetization vectors with respect to x, y, and z axes (easy axes) of each grains are a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 , respectively. In the simplified micromagnetic model [1], all the parameters above mentioned are determined by fitting the measured dc hysteresis loops. In addition, although the LLG equation is generally used for expressing the dynamic hysteresis behavior, only steady-state value of the LLG equation is used for expressing dc hysteresis.

Figure 1 shows the measured and calculated dc hysteresis loops. It is found that the previous model [1] cannot simulate the strong nonlinearity. To calculate the hysteresis loops under the strong nonlinearity, the order of equation (3) is increased as follows:

$$E_{ela} = \sum_{j=1}^{10} b_{2j} \overline{m}^{2j} .$$
 (5)

Figure 2 shows the measured and calculated hysteresis loops using equation (5). Figure 3 is an enlarged view of figure 2. It is clear that the strong nonlinearity can be expressed by using equation (5). However, the shape of the hysteresis loops is still different from the measured loops, especially under magnetic saturation.

To express the shape of the hysteresis loop with high accuracy, the magnetic anisotropy field in [1] is also improved as the following equation:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{ani} = -k_{ani} \frac{1}{M_s} \frac{\partial E_{ani}}{\partial \boldsymbol{m}_i}, \qquad (6)$$

$$k_{ani} = 1 + k_1 \min(1, \exp(k_2(\left| \overline{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_i \right| - k_3))), \qquad (7)$$

where the k_{ani} is the newly defined coefficient. To restrict the maximum value of k_{ani} , "min" is used in equation (7). Figure 4 shows the coefficient k_{ani} in equation (7) at $k_1 = 2$, $k_2 = 5$, and $k_3 = 0.7$ which are determined by fitting the measured dc hysteresis loops.

Figure 5 indicates the measured and calculated dc hysteresis loops using the proposed method. Figure 6 is an enlarged view of figure 5. It is understood that the shape of calculated hysteresis loops is improved by using equation (6).

Figure 7 illustrates the magnetic circuit model combined with the improved LLG equation. Using the improved model, current waveforms are calculated when the sinusoidal voltage waveform corresponding to the maximum flux density $B_m = 1.8$ T is applied. Figure 8 and 9 denote the current waveforms calculated by the previous [2] and improved models. It is clear that the calculation accuracy is remarkably improved.

3. Summary

This paper presented the accuracy improvement of the magnetic hysteresis calculated by the LLG equation. First, the equation expressing the magnetoelastic energy in [1] was improved to simulate the strong magnetic nonlinearity. Second, the equation for the magnetic anisotropy field in [1] was also

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/903/1/012048

improved to express the shape of the hysteresis loop with high accuracy. It was demonstrated that the proposed method can improve the accuracy of the magnetic nonlinearity and hysteresis behavior. This work was supported by Grant in Aid for ISPS Fellows (26,5193)

This work was supported by Grant-in Aid for JSPS Fellows (26-5193).



Figure 1. Measured and calculated dc hysteresis of non-oriented Si steel.



Figure 4. Relation between k_{ani} and the absolute value of an interior product of a normalized magnetization vector m_i and an average of the normalized magnetization vectors \overline{m} .



Figure 7. Magnetic circuit model combined with LLG equation.

References

- [1] Oshima H, Uehara Y, Shimizu K, Inagaki K, Furuya A, Fujisaki J, Suzuki M, Kawano K, Mifune T, Matsuo T, Watanabe K, and Igarashi H 2014 J. Jpn. Soc. Powder Metallurgy 61 S238-S241
- [2] Tanaka H, Nakamura K, and Ichinokura O 2015 J. Magn. Soc. Jpn 39 65-70



Figure 2. The dc hysteresis of non-oriented Si steel calculated by using equation (5).



Figure 5. The dc hysteresis of the non-oriented Si steel calculated by the proposed method.



Figure 3. Enlarged view of figure 2.



Figure 6. Enlarged view of figure 5.



Figure 8. Current waveform calculated by the previous model.



Figure 9. Current waveform calculated by the proposed model.