# PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# Uranium resources in China's phosphate rocks – identifying low-hanging fruits

To cite this article: Yiyang Ye et al 2019 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 227 052033

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- <u>Depleted Uranium Report from the Health</u> <u>Council of the Netherlands</u> W F Passchier and J W N Tuyn
- <u>Depleted uranium</u> Richard Wakeford
- <u>The Rays and Product of Uranium X</u> Frederick Soddy





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 3.139.82.23 on 03/05/2024 at 18:20

# Uranium resources in China's phosphate rocks – identifying low-hanging fruits

Yiyang Ye<sup>1,10</sup>, Nahhar Al-Khaledi<sup>2,3</sup>, Lee Barker<sup>4</sup>, Mohamed S. Darwish<sup>5</sup>, Ahmed M.A. El Naggar<sup>5</sup>, Adil El-Yahyaoui<sup>6</sup>, Ahmed Hussein<sup>7</sup>, El-Sayed Hussein<sup>7</sup>, Delei Shang<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed Taha<sup>7</sup>, Yanhua Zheng<sup>1</sup>, Jun Zhong<sup>8</sup> and Nils Haneklaus<sup>9</sup>

**IOP** Publishing

<sup>1</sup>Tsinghua University, 100084 Beijing, China;

<sup>3</sup> Radiation Protection Department, Ministry of Health, Kuwait;

<sup>5</sup> Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt;

<sup>6</sup>CNESTEN, Route Principale, 10001 Rabat, Morocco;

<sup>7</sup>Nuclear Materials Authority, El-Maadi-Kattamiya Road, Cairo, Egypt;

<sup>8</sup> Beijing Research Institute of Uranium Geology, 100029 Beijing, China;

<sup>9</sup> RWTH Aachen University, 52072 Aachen, Germany.

<sup>10</sup>Email: yiyangye@qq.com

Abstract. China is rapidly increasing its nuclear power fleet of light water reactors and will as a result need more and more uranium fuel. For now, Beijing is following a strategy known as 'three third rule' when it comes to the nation's uranium supply: 1/3 of the uranium comes from domestic mining, 1/3 is obtained through direct international trade, and 1/3 is provided by Chinese companies mining abroad. To date, China has approximately 5% of world's identified primary uranium resources (U.S.\$ <130/kg uranium) as defined by the International Atomic Energy Agency but may operate 50% of the global nuclear power fleet by 2050. Domestic unconventional uranium resources that could decrease China's dependence on foreign uranium suppliers are mostly associated with lignite, black shales and phosphate rocks. Extracting uranium from phosphate rock during fertilizer production is a well-known process that is at the edge of being monetarily profitable. Besides, this practice reduces uranium loads on agricultural soils from fertilizers drastically. In this work, we discuss potential unconventional uranium resources that could be extracted in China during phosphate rock processing. We conclude that China, the largest phosphate rock producing country in the world, could theoretically recover some 700 t unconventional uranium annually or roughly 40% of the domestically mined uranium in 2017. Most deposits show low (<30 ppm) to very low (<10 ppm) uranium concentration though that would not favor extraction. Deposits with higher (>100 ppm) uranium content exist in China, and are identified here. Uranium recovered from these deposits, and uranium recovered from imported phosphate rocks processed in China may support domestic uranium mining and as a result energy security of the Middle Kingdom.

## 1. Introduction

Future development of nuclear power is regarded a necessity in China [1-3] to address increasing air pollution resulting from excessively relying on burning fossil fuels for energy production. As of March 31, 2018, China had 38 commercial nuclear power plants (excluding Taiwan) with an installed

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wuhan University, 430072 Wuhan, China;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Sparton Resources Inc., Toronto, Canada;

capacity of 36,933.16 MWe (rated installed capacity) [4]. In 2017, China's cumulative power generation was 62,758.20 billion kWh. The cumulative power generation of commercial nuclear power units was 247.469 billion kWh, accounting for 3.94% of the national cumulative power generation, an increase of 17.55% relative to 2016. Compared with coal-fired power generation, nuclear power generation is equivalent to reducing the burning of standard coal by 76.467 million tons, reducing emissions of carbon dioxide by 2003.46 million tons, reducing emissions of sulfur dioxide by 650 million tons, and reducing NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by 565,900 tons [4]. Although the share of nuclear power is still relatively small compared to primary energy production with coal (Figure 1) [5], China is rapidly increasing nuclear energy production [6]. Figure 2 shows three scenarios (low-, middle-, and high scenario) for the projected uranium demand in China according to Chen et al. [7] until the year 2030.







Figure 2. China's uranium demand to 2030 according to Chen et al. [7].

Other studies (e.g. [8]) that estimate different future demands are available. All studies are projecting an increased (two- or threefold) uranium demand for China until 2030. Despite these projections it should be noted that China is actively working on several fast reactor concepts to enable a closed fuel cycle that may change nuclear fuel demands in the very far future [9]. Within this century uranium will, however, be the primary fuel source for nuclear power plants in China.

### 2. Conventional uranium resources and uranium supply in China

China is currently following a strategy known as 'three third rule' when it comes to the nations uranium supply: 1/3 of the uranium is supplied from domestic mining, 1/3 is supplied from direct international trades and 1/3 is provided from Chinese companies mining abroad [10,11]. China has to date approximately 5% of worlds identified uranium resources (U.S.\$ <130/kg uranium) as defined by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) [12]. Figure 3 provides a brief overview of the world's identified uranium resources in 2015.



Figure 3. Global identified (U.S.\$ <130/kg uranium) uranium resources by country [12].

China has great potential to increase its domestic uranium resources since large areas have only been screened and not explored in depth yet [10]. Although the United States and France, for instance, are heavily relying on imports of natural uranium for their nuclear power fleets an increased future shortage of uranium, resulting in increased market dependence and a potential threat to energy security has been identified by a number of researchers for China [13–26].

### 3. Unconventional uranium resources in China's phosphate rocks

Black shales have been identified as a relevant unconventional uranium resource in China by Qi et al. [27]. In addition, uranium from domestic and foreign resources may be extracted from China's phosphate rocks during phosphate fertilizer production. Phosphate rock, pre-concentrated phosphate ore, is pre-dominantly used for mineral phosphate fertilizer production. China is to date the largest phosphate rock processing country in the world [28]. It is well known that phosphate rocks can contain considerable amounts of associated uranium and rare earth elements (REEs) [29–32] that can be extracted during wet phosphoric acid fertilizer production, such as solvent extraction, have been reviewed by Beltrami et al. [34], Singh et al. [35] and Zhong [36], are available and were used in the United States and to a smaller extend elsewhere on industrial scale in the 1980s [37]. Besides, a full scale extraction plant already operated successfully in Taiwan for more than six years starting in May 1981 [38]. Figure 4 schematically shows how uranium recovery during phosphoric acid production from phosphate rock with sulfuric acid was conducted on industrial scale in the past.



Figure 4. Phosphoric acid production with uranium recovery by the wet-acid process.

It is worth noting that uranium recovery units can be integrated without much effort in operating phosphoric acid plants. Increased environmental awareness, energy independence and potentially rising uranium prices lead to renewed interest in the recovery of uranium from phosphoric acid. The Nuclear Materials Authority in Egypt and Urtek LLC [39] for instance are operating pilot plants for the recovery of uranium from phosphoric acid. In addition, innovative technologies that promise a significant increase in uranium recovery with dramatically decreased accumulation of unwanted phosphogypsum stacks are being developed in parallel [40].

With the largest phosphate rock mining industry worldwide in place, China may have great potential to extract considerable amounts of uranium during phosphate fertilizer production and add this uranium to the amount of domestically mined resources. In this way dependencies on international markets that may affect the Middle Kingdom's energy security in the future could be reduced. In addition, phosphate fertilizers with greatly reduced uranium content would be produced. Hu et al. [41] presented this vision of cleaner fertilizers and increased energy security for China's future. Ulrich et al. [42] later estimated that some 710 t natural uranium could have been extracted from wet phosphoric acid during phosphate fertilizer production in China in 2010. This amount would be sufficient to cover the annual uranium needs of two large (1000 MWe) light water nuclear power plants that each need approximately 305 t natural uranium per year (given 5% enrichment and no uranium losses from natural uranium to nuclear reactor fuel manufacturing).

Hu et al. [41] and Ulrich et al. [42] used average uranium concentrations of 21-31 mg/kg as reported by Van Kauwenbergh [43], and annual phosphate rock production data as reported by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) to estimate the quantity of unconventional uranium that could have theoretically been recovered during phosphate rock processing in China. For first technical and economic feasibility studies it is useful to identify the uranium deposits with the highest uranium concentrations. Qin [44] for instance identified four uranium-bearing phosphate deposits in Gansu, Guizhou, Ningxia and Xinjiang that show uranium concentrations of 100-300 mg/kg and thus raise expectations that uranium from China's phosphate rocks may have the chance to be extracted economically. The data [43,45,46] in Table 1 is a first attempt to summarize the uranium contents from different phosphate rock deposits in China and to identify the most promising deposits for uranium extraction. The World Nuclear Association (WNA) differentiates between (1) very highgrade uranium ores (>200,000 ppm), (2) high-grade uranium ores (>20,000 ppm), (3) low-grade uranium ores (>1,000 ppm) and (4) very low-grade uranium ores (>100 ppm) [47]. If a cut-off grade of 100 ppm is applied, six deposits: Tongrenba (Guizhou, 200-280 ppm), Hanzhong (Shaanxi, 100-300 ppm), Emei (Sichuan, 100-230 ppm), Leibo (Sichuan, 170-480 ppm), Huidong (Sichuan, 100-230 ppm) and Pujiang (Zhejiang, 150-300 ppm) become promising deposits that should be further investigated.

In addition, China may choose to recover uranium from phosphate rock imports. Although, China is the largest phosphate rock producer in the world at the moment the vast majority of phosphate rocks are found in Northern Africa and the Middle East, and here particularly in Morocco (roughly <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of all phosphate rock reserves) [28]. Phosphate rock from Morocco contains uranium at concentrations above 100 ppm and is already imported into China in small quantities today (62,500 t in 2016 [48]). It is not unusual that phosphate rock processing plants rely exclusively on imported rather than domestic phosphate rock. Material flows are for instance available for a phosphate fertilizer plant in the Philippines that processed imported phosphate rock from Algeria, Egypt, Jordan, Morocco and Peru the last years [49]. In 2017, China mined some 140 million t phosphate rock [50]. If this phosphate rock would have been supplied by Morocco with a uranium content of 100 ppm and this uranium would have been extracted with a recovery rate of 0.855 (90% transfers to the phosphoric acid and 95% can be extracted from here) a total of 11,970 t uranium could have theoretically been recovered. 11,970 t uranium is roughly equivalent to China's total projected uranium demand in 2019-2020. Morocco cannot ramp up production from 27 million t in 2017 [50] and add another 140 million t overnight to provide phosphate rocks to China. It is worth looking at such estimates though to

highlight	the	long	term	opportunities	of	recovering	uranium	from	phosphate	rocks	for	the	Middle
Kingdom.													

AnhuiSusong1 pprKaiyang8.46-35 ppnGuiding22 ppnGuizhouPingba13 ppnTongrenba60-500, mainly 200-280 ppnZhijin3.75-43 ppn
Kaiyang8.46-35 pprGuiding22 ppnGuizhouPingba13 ppnTongrenba60-500, mainly 200-280 ppnZhijin3.75-43 ppn
Guiding22 ppr.GuizhouPingba13 ppr.Tongrenba60-500, mainly 200-280 ppr.Zhijin3.75-43 ppr.
GuizhouPingba13 ppnTongrenba60-500, mainly 200-280 ppnZhijin3.75-43 ppn
Tongrenba         60-500, mainly 200-280 ppn           Zhijin         3.75-43 ppn
Zhijin 3.75-43 ppn
Guangxi Wuming 3.5-69.9 ppm, highest 104 ppm
Damao (clasolite) 10.30-53.63 ppm, average 29.71 ppm
Sanya Damao 5.77-52.63 ppn
Baokang 4 ppn
Huangmailing 2.36-11.6 ppn
Hubei Jingxiang 4.44-7.04 ppm, av. 5.35 ppm
Jingzhong 2 ppn
Jingzhou 2 ppm
Dayong 34 ppn
Huaihua 19 ppn
Huanjingping 18.3-104 ppm, average 60.08 ppm
Liuyang 15 ppn
Louxi 0.76-32.8 ppn
Matian 58 ppn
Shimen 4 ppn
Xixi 10.30-32.80 ppm, average 25.34 ppm
Jinping 12.5-23 ppn
Xinpu 12 ppn
Guangfeng 23 ppn
Shangrao 7 ppn
Shaanxi Hanzhong Kuanchuan 100-300 ppm, highest 470 ppm
Jinjiahe 8 ppn
Shanxi Heijiayan 6 ppn
Zhongtiaoshan 3-30 ppn
Jinhe 28 ppn
Shifang 16.71-57.26 ppm, average 31.63 ppm
Hanyuan Shuitonggou 5.05-15.58 ppm, average 9.29 ppm
Emei 100-230 ppm, highest 480 ppm
Leibo 170-480 ppn
Huidong 100-230 ppm, highest 390 ppm
Anning 13 ppn
Haikou 34 ppn
Jianing 22-300 ppn
Yunnan Kunyang 11.07-21.48 ppm, 13.26 ppm
Laogaoshan 26 ppr
Shangsuanshan 22 ppr
Zhejiang Pujang 150-300 ppr

**Table 1.** Uranium content in different phosphate rock deposits in China.

#### 4. Conclusions

This work shows that the uranium concentrations in China's phosphate rocks vary widely. Although, the average uranium concentration in China may be low (<30 ppm), deposits with relatively high uranium concentrations above 100 ppm could be identified. These deposits may be the most suitable deposits for uranium recovery from phosphate rocks in China and further detailed sample characterization should be done here if uranium recovery during phosphate fertilizer processing is seriously considered. Besides, the uranium content a number of other factors such as the mineral processing plant size, other accompanying valuable and/or toxic elements, the rock matrix, local environmental regulations, etc. will affect the technical and economic feasibility for uranium recovery at a certain deposit. In addition, to uranium recovery from domestic phosphate rock resources, China may also choose to recover uranium from foreign phosphate rock reserves are found in Morocco though. Importing these phosphate rocks, as may be inevitable in the future, and recover uranium from them during fertilizer production may considerably contribute to China's domestic mining activities and can be considered an equally low-hanging fruit.

#### References

- [1] Zhou Y 2010 Energ Policy 38 3755–62
- [2] Zhou S,Zhang X 2010 Energy **35** 4282–8
- [3] Yuan J et al. 2014 Energ Policy 65 692–700
- [4] National Bureau of Statistics of China 2018
- [5] China Energy Group at LBNL 2016 Lawrence Berkeley Natl. Lab. 1–60
- [6] Zhou Y et al. 2011 Energ Policy **39** 771–81
- [7] Chen S, Xing W and Du X 2017 Int. J. Green Energy 14 638–49
- [8] Yan Q et al. 2011 Prog. Nucl. Energy **53** 742–7
- [9] Hibbs M 2018 The Future of Nuclear Power
- [10] Zhang B H, Bai Y 2015 China's Access to Uranium Resources
- [11] Cai Y et al. 2015 Acta Geol. Sin. **89** 1051–69
- [12] IAEA, NEA 2016 Uranium 2016 : Resources, Production and Demand
- [13] Guang Y, Wenjie H 2010 Energ Policy 38 966-75
- [14] Wang Q 2009 Energ Policy 37 2487–91
- [15] Guo X, Guo X 2016 Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 57 999–1007
- [16] Fiori F, Zhou Z 2015 Ann. Nucl. Energy 83 246-57
- [17] Fiori F, Zhou Z 2015 Prog. Nucl. Energy 83 123-34
- [18] Chen Y et al. 2018 Prog. Nucl. Energy **103** 81-90
- [19] Gao et al. 2017 *Energ Policy* **101** 526-36
- [20] Yi-Chong X 2008 Energy **33**, 1197-1205
- [21] Ren J, Sovacool B K 2015 Energy Convers. Manag. 92 129–36
- [22] Dittmar M 2012 Energy **37** 35–40
- [23] Hou J et al. 2011 J. Energy Eng. 137 151-8
- [24] Wang Y, Gu A, Zhang A 2011 Energy Policy **39** 6745–59
- [25] Zhang Z 2010 Energ Policy 38 6638–53
- [26] Tang C, Shao L, Xing W 2017 China Min. Mag. 26 1-7
- [27] Qi F et al. 2011 Uranium Geol. 27 193–9
- [28] Cooper J et al. 2011 Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 57 78-86
- [29] Chen M, Graedel T E 2015 J. Clean. Prod. 91 337-46
- [30] Zhang P 2014 Procedia Eng. 83 37–51
- [31] Schnug E, Haneklaus N 2014 Procedia Eng. 83 265–9
- [32] Gabriel S et al. 2013 Ann. Nucl. Energy 58 213–20
- [33] Haneklaus N et al. 2017 Environ. Sci. Technol. 51 (2) 753-54
- [34] Beltrami D et al. 2014 Chem. Rev. 114 12002-23

- [35] Singh D K, Mondal S, Chakravartty J K 2016 Chem. Eng. Prog. 34 201-25
- [36] Zhong P 2013 Prog. Rep. China Nucl. Sci. Technol. 110–5
- [37] Haneklaus N, Bayok A, Fedchenko V 2017 Sci. Glob. Secur. 25 143-58
- [38] Ju S-J, Chiu T-M, Hoh Y-C 1988 Sep. Sci. Technol. 23 1297-309
- [39] Hore-Lacy I 2016 Uranium for nuclear power, 1<sup>st</sup> Edition, Chapter 9 239-51
- [40] Al-Khaledi N et al 2019 IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.
- [41] Hu Z et al. 2008 Loads Fate Fertil. Deriv. Uranium 127–34
- [42] Ulrich A E et al. 2014 Sci. Total Environ. 478 226–34
- [43] Van Kauwenbergh S J 1997 The Fertilizer Society Proceedings No. 400
- [44] Qin M 2009, IAEA Meeting, Vienna 2009
- [45] Chen J, Zhu Y, Yang J 1993, 561-563
- [46] Cao Y et al. 2013 Geol. Rev. 59 435-36 (in Chinese)
- [47] WNA 2014 Supply of Uranium
- [48] UN Comtrade Database, 2018
- [49] Haneklaus N et al. 2015 Philipp J Sci 144 (1) 69-79
- [50] USGS 2018 Phosphate Rock.