PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Assessment of Drug Binding Potential of Pockets in the NS2B/NS3 Dengue Virus Protein

To cite this article: F Amelia et al 2018 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 349 012021

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>Two-temperature Radiative Hot Accretion</u> Flow around Neutron Stars De-Fu Bu, Erlin Qiao and Xiao-Hong Yang
- Hydrophobic Pocket of SARS-Cov-2 Spike Glycoprotein are Potential as Binding Pocket

A Fitri, H Basultan and Iryani

 Performance assessment of pocket tunnel FET and accumulation mode FET for detection of streptavidin protein Akash Jadhav, Shivendra Yadav, Sushil K Pandey et al.





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 3.19.56.45 on 11/05/2024 at 06:37

Assessment of Drug Binding Potential of Pockets in the NS2B/NS3 Dengue Virus Protein

F Amelia¹, Iryani¹, P Y Sari¹, A A Parikesit², R Bakri², E P Toepak², U S F Tambunan²*

¹Department of Chemistry, Universitas Negeri Padang, Air Tawar, Padang, West Sumatera, Indonesia

²Bioinformatics Research Group, Departement of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Indonesia, Kampus UI Depok, West Java 16424, Indonesia

* Email:usman@ui.ac.id

Abstract. Every year an endemic dengue fever estimated to affect over 390 million cases in over 128 countries occurs. However, the antigen types which stimulate the human immune response are variable, as a result, neither effective vaccines nor antiviral treatments have been successfully developed for this disease. The NS2B/NS3 protease of the dengue virus (DENV) responsible for viral replication is a potential drug target. The ligand-enzyme binding site determination is a key role in the success of virtual screening of new inhibitors. The NS2B/NS3 protease of DENV (PDB ID: 2FOM) has two pockets consisting of 37 (Pocket 1) and 27 (Pocket 2) amino acid residues in each pocket. In this research, we characterized the amino acid residues for binding sites in NS3/NS2B based on the hydrophobicity, the percentage of charged residues, volume, depth, $\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$, hydrogen bonding and bond length. The hydrophobic percentages of both pockets are high, 59 % (Pocket 1) and 41% (Pocket 2) and the percentage of charged residues in Pocket 1 and 2 are 22% and 48%, and the pocket volume is less than 700 Å³. An interaction analysis using molecular docking showed that interaction between the ligand complex and protein in Pocket 1 is more negative than Pocket 2. As a result, Pocket 1 is the better potential target for a ligand to inhibit the action of NS2B/NS3 DENV.

1. Introduction

Dengue virus (DENV) infection has become a global concern. There were over 50 million infection cases per year.Not only that, approximately 2.5% people from 500.000 severe dengue cases were reported to die[1]. The developing of an effective DENV treatment is needed to repress the mortality rate caused by DENV infection. In efforts to find a treatment for DENV, characterization of the enzyme binding site is an important step during the virtual screening of new inhibitors. One of the DENV essentials enzymes that essential to be characterized is NS2B/NS3 protease. This enzyme is known to has an essentials role in the maturation of DENV virion and viral replication process [2].

The previous research on NS2BCF-Gy-NS3PRO protease using metapocket analysis and molecular dynamics detected three amino acid residues in NS2B DENV protein and 19 amino acid residues in NS3 DENV protein as binding sites [3]. Other researchers working on NS2B-NS3 2FOM found 12 amino acid residues as binding sites using CastP [4]. Altering the structural conformation of DENV NS2B/NS3 has been proposed as a potential means of deactivating the protease, but some uncertainty remains regarding the exact structural arrangement of NS2B/NS3 [5]. However, it is estimated that 60% of

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

proposed or potential blocking ligands used in drug design never meet initial expectations because the protein targets are found not to be druggable [6]. To address this issue, we determined the residues and druggable binding pocket in NS3/NS2B based on five properties; hydrophobicity, the percentage of charged residues, volume, and depth using computational approach. The Computational approach played an important role to modeled the DENV protein. It can give us the better insight about the protein active site and way to inference the protein activity [7]. We also performed *in silico* molecular docking using 4-hydroxypanduratin A and panduratin A to model the interaction between ligands and protein [8].

2. Methods

2.1. Preparation of dengue virus NS2B-NS3 protease structure and standard ligand

The complex three-dimensional structure of the DENV NS2B-NS3 protease was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) database using the specific enzyme ID of 2FOM (<u>http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/</u>). The geometry optimization and energy minimization of DENV NS2B-NS3 protease were performed using *MOE2008.10 software*. We chose ligands 4-hydroxypanduratin A and panduratin A as a standard ligand. The structure of the ligands 4-hydroxypanduratin A and panduratin A were drawn using *ACD/Chemsketch* software version 12.01. *MOE2008.10* software was used for optimization of the ligands.

2.2 Binding site analysis

The binding sites on the surface protein of the NS3/NS2B of DENV were predicted using *Metapocket* 2.0, *DoGSiteScorer*, and *DEPTH* server. In *Metapocket* 2.0 server, the structure of the protein used for prediction was in PDB format, and the amino acid residues will be shown as the result of binding site residues [9–11]. *DoGSiteScorer* was used to determine the 3D structure of the pocket of the protein using the calculation of size, shape and chemical features of the predicted (sub) pockets. PDB and SDF formats were used in this prediction [12,13]. *DEPTH* server calculated cavity volumes and predicted the location of small molecule-binding sites by measuring the closest distance of a residue/atom to the bulk solvent [14–16].

2.3 Molecular docking

Molecular docking is a method which predicts the preferred orientation of one molecule to a second when bound to each other to form a stable complex. This process was done using the *MOE 2008.10* software through the MOE-dock tools. The method for placement, rescoring and refinement used a matching triangle with 2000000 iterations, London dG, and force field with a population of 1000 repetitions respectively [17].

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Binding site prediction

Modeling and characterization of the active site of NS3/NS2B is an important step for screening the potential reactivity of drug candidates [7]. The application *Metapocket* 2.0 calculates the geometric and energy interaction between the proteins and target ligands to locate favorable binding sites. The most favorable site is ranked first. NS2B/NS3 protease of DENV structure contained two pockets. The first site consisted of 37 residues in a chain (7 polar residues, 22 nonpolar residues, and 8 charge residues) and the second binding site consisted of 27 residues (3 polar residues and 11 nonpolar residues and 13 charge residues) in chain A and B (Table 1).

Table 1. The amino acid residues in binding pocket 1 and 2 based on residue properties

Pocket	Hydrophobicity		Charge					
Toeket	Hydrophilic	Hydrophobic	(+ve)	(-ve)				
	THR_B118;THR_B120	MET_B49; TRP_B69 ;VAL_B72	LYS_B117;LYS_	ASP_B71;ASP_				
	THR_B122; THR_B15	LEU_B76;TRP_B83;LEU_B85	B73;LYS_B74;LY	B75;GLU_B86;				
	6	GLY_B87;TRP_B89;GLY_B121	S_B84	GLU_B88				
	ASN_B119 ASN_B152	ILE_B123;GLY_B124;VAL_B146						
1	ASN_B167	VAL_B147; GLY_B148; LEU_B14						
		9;GLY_B151;GLY_B153;VAL_B						
		154;AL_B155; ALA_B164;ILE_B						
		165;ALA_B166						
2	SER_A75;THR_A94	LEU_A53; ALA_A56; ALA_A57;I	ARG_B24;ARG	GLU_A52;GLU				
	ASN_B105	LE_A73; LEU_A74; ILE_A76; LE	_A55;ARG_A60	_A54;ASP_A58;				
		U_A95;GLY_A96;TYR_B23;PRO	;LYS_A87;LYS_	GLU_B19;GLU_				
		_B106 LEU_B18	B61; HIS_B60	A62;GLU_A89				
				GLU_A90				

^aBlack = residues detected by *Metapocket* 2.0; **Black bold**= residues detected by both *Metapocket* 2.0 and *DEPTH*

DEPTH server, an application that calculates cavity volumes and predicts the location of small molecule-binding sites, confirmed the *Metapocket* result that there were two cavities suitable for ligand binding. The small molecule predicted can bind to 8 amino acid residues in pocket 1 and 6 amino acid residues in pocket 2 (Table 1). The three amino acid residues with the highest probability of binding were, GLY_B148, followed by ALA_B164, and LEU_B76, are located in pocket 1 as determined by *Metapocket* 2.0.

DoGSiteScorer determined the druggable pocket based on physical properties; pocket volume, pocket surface, lipophilic surfaces, and depth [12,13]. Measurement of physical properties has important implications for ligand design. Residues that have a volume $\geq 500 \text{ Å}^3$, depth $\geq 10.4 \text{ Å}$, enclosure ≥ 0.28 , percentage of charge residue < 26.3 and hydrophobicity ≥ -1.12 generally have the highest probability of forming a druggable pocket [18]. The 2FOM protein has 13 residues which have more than 10 Å of depth in a β chain. The total depth of each pocket is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Drug score of pocket protein by assessment of the physical properties of the pocket using DoGSiteScorer

Pocket	Volume [ų]	Surface [Å ²]	Lipophilic surfaces [Å ²]	Depth [Å]	Drug Score
<u>P1</u>	600.00	976.78	576.15	18.92	0.81
<u>P2</u>	573.31	843.74	551.08	16.42	0.78
<u>P3</u>	236.54	457.92	295.86	13.18	0.54
<u>P4</u>	208.45	373.51	202.56	14.27	0.53
<u>P5</u>	154.69	338.81	195.60	9.34	0.32
<u>P6</u>	140.74	336.88	205.71	8.45	0.25
<u>P7</u>	117.70	258.98	184.42	6.34	0.16

legend: undruggable => druggable

The polarity influences the hydrogen bond form because it occurs due to a bond being polar. Hydrogen bonds confer directionality and specificity to the intramolecular interactions in the structures. This interaction is particularly important for proteins, where the hydrogen bond provides the organization for distinct folds and also provides the selectivity in the protein-ligand interactions [19]. So, the number of polar and nonpolar residues will affect the degree of hydrogen bonding in ligand-NS3/NS2B protease complexes. Since the small compounds or ligands will easily find their binding sites at the hydrophobic pocket, hydrophobic pockets are preferable as drug targets. Based on Table 1, both Pocket 1 and 2 have high percentages of hydrophobicity, 59% and 41%, which indicated both

pockets are good for drug target.Furthermore, potential binding sites with a lower frequency of amino acid residues in a charged state are favored as drug targets. The preferable range of charged residues is 10 to $\leq 26.3\%$ [18]. Since the percentage of charged residues in Pocket 1 and 2 was 21.6% and 48% respectively, only Pocket 1 is indicated as a potentially good drug target. The ligand binding pocket had a wide range of sizes from 100 to 1000Å³. If the pocket volume is lower than 700 Å³, the size of potential ligands needs to be considered[20]. Since Pocket 1 and 2 have the volume 600 Å³ and 573 Å³ respectively, the small ligand is suitable for this protein. The pocket cavity of NS2B/NS3 Protease is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Two drugable pockets of NS3/NS2B protease DENV

3.2 Molecular docking

In order to explore the enzyme-substrate interaction and to determine the key residues responsible for interaction, two substrates (4-hydroxypanduratin A and panduratin A) were used as model ligands for the NS3/NS2B protease using the *MOE2008.10* software. Table 3 shows that in all cases there was a negative and low value of free energy of binding ($\Delta G_{binding}$) interaction between the NS3/NS2B protease pockets and the 2 ligands indicating a potential strong bond in the most favorable conformations. The noncovalent binding will take place as a spontaneous process if it is correlated with a negative binding free energy [21]. Table 3 showed that the interaction between ligand 4-hydroxypanduratin A and panduratin A and NS2B /NS3 protein should occur spontaneously. In Pocket 1 the ligand 4-hydroxypanduratin A formed 2 hydrogen bonds and panduratin A formed one hydrogen bonds. In Pocket 2, 4-hydroxypanduratin A is predicted to form 3 hydrogen bonds and panduratin A 4 hydrogen bonds. As mentioned previously hydrophobic pockets are good candidates for druggable pockets even though spectroscopic evidence demonstrates that hydrogen bonds at hydrophobic sites are weaker [19,21].

Even though the $\Delta G_{\text{binding}}$ for the ligand-protein complex in Pocket 1 is more negative than Pocket 2 (Table 3), the number of hydrogen bonds in Pocket 1 is lower than Pocket 2. There are many factors influencing the stabilization of the ligand-enzyme complex, for example, the distance and angle of hydrogen bonds [22]. In this research, the length of hydrogen bonds ranged from 1.59 to 2.47 (Table 3) which fits the accepted (and most frequently observed) geometry for a hydrogen bond, a length of less than 2.5Å.

Tuble of Result of Morecular docking Simulation							
Pocket	Ligand	$\Delta G_{binding}$	Hydrogen bonds	Bond length			
		(kcal/mol)		(Å)			
1	4-hydroxypanduratin A	-13.00	Leu_B140 (66%); Lys_B74(45%)	1.79; 1.61			
1	Panduratin A	-11.49	Lys B74(21%)	2.47			
2	4-hydroxypanduratin A	-10.77	His B60(60%); Leu A53(44%)	1.75; 1.84;			
			Lys B61(48%)	1.59			
2	Panduratin A	-10.80	Glu B19(90%); Leu B19(17%);	1.78; 2.3;1.66			
			His B60(63%); Arg A55(19%)	2.08			

Table 3. Result of Molecular docking simulation

4. Conclusion

This article described the analysis of amino acid residues for potential binding sites of DENV NS2B/NS3 Protease using *Metapocket*, *Depth*, and *DoGSiteScorer* to perform molecular docking as a part of efforts to discover the potential binding site and new candidates for drug design. Our results clearly identify Pocket 1 as the best candidate as it has a lower overall hydrophobicity and a more spontaneous binding energy ($\Delta G_{binding}$) than pocket 2.

Conflict of Interest

We declare that there is no conflict of interest in this research nor publication

Acknowledgements

We thank the Indonesian government for funding this research, Hibah Pekerti – DIKTI No: 237/UN35.2/PG/2015 and Hibah Penelitian Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi (PUPT) 2017 No: 2716/UN2.R3.1/HKP.05.00/2017. In this research; Usman Sumo Friend Tambunan, Arli Aditya Parikesit, Ridla Bakri and Fitri Amelia were supervising the research. Iryani and Prima Yulia Sari conducted the experiments, while Erwin Prasetya Toepak helped to proofread the paper

References

- [1] Nitsche C, Holloway S, Schirmeister T and Klein C D 2014 Biochemistry and Medicinal Chemistry of the Dengue Virus Protease *Chem. Rev.* **114** 11348–81
- [2] Frecer V and Miertus S 2010 Design, structure-based focusing and in silico screening of combinatorial library of peptidomimetic inhibitors of Dengue virus NS2B-NS3 protease. J. Comput. Aided. Mol. Des. 24 195–212
- [3] de Almeida H, Bastos I M D, Ribeiro B M, Maigret B and Santana J M 2013 New binding site conformations of the dengue virus NS3 protease accessed by molecular dynamics simulation. ed J Zheng *PLoS One* 8 e72402
- [4] Verma R, Jatav V K and Sharma S 2015 Identification Inhibitors of Dengue Virus (DENV1, DENV2 & DENV3) NS2B/NS3 Serine Protease: A Molecular Docking and Simulation Approach Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res. 8 4–9
- [5] Schüller A, Yin Z, Brian Chia C S, Doan D N P, Kim H-K, Shang L, Loh T P, Hill J and Vasudevan S G 2011 Tripeptide inhibitors of dengue and West Nile virus NS2B– NS3 protease *Antiviral Res.* 92 96–101
- [6] Halgren T A 2009 Identifying and Characterizing Binding Sites and Assessing Druggability J. Chem. Inf. Model. 49 377–89
- U.S.F. Tambunan A A P 2011 In silico Design of Drugs and Vaccines for Dengue Disease *Trends Bioinforma*. 4
- [8] Kiat T S, Pippen R, Yusof R, Ibrahim H, Khalid N and Rahman N A 2006 Inhibitory activity of cyclohexenyl chalcone derivatives and flavonoids of fingerroot, Boesenbergia rotunda (L.), towards dengue-2 virus NS3 protease *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 16 3337–40
- [9] Anon http://project.biotec.tu-dresden.de/metapocket/index.php
- [10] Zhang Z, Li Y, Lin B, Schroeder M and Huang B 2011 Identification of cavities on protein surface using multiple computational approaches for drug binding site prediction *Bioinformatics* 27 2083–8
- [11] Huang B 2009 MetaPocket: A Meta Approach to Improve Protein Ligand Binding Site Prediction Omi. A J. Integr. Biol. 13 325–30

- [12] Volkamer A, Kuhn D, Grombacher T, Rippmann F and Rarey M 2012 Combining Global and Local Measures for Structure-Based Druggability Predictions J. Chem. Inf. Model. 52 360–72
- [13] Anon http://proteinsplus.zbh.uni-hamburg.de/.
- [14] Tan K P, Varadarajan R and Madhusudhan M S 2011 DEPTH: a web server to compute depth and predict small-molecule binding cavities in proteins *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39 W242–8
- [15] Chakravarty S and Varadarajan R 1999 Residue depth: a novel parameter for the analysis of protein structure and stability *Structure* 7 723–32
- [16] Anon http://cospi.iiserpune.ac.in/depth/htdocs/run_depth.html.
- [17] C.C.G. Inc 2013 Molecular Operating Environment (MOE)
- [18] Perola E, Herman L and Weiss J 2012 Development of a Rule-Based Method for the Assessment of Protein Druggability J. Chem. Inf. Model. 52 1027–38
- [19] Hubbard R E and Kamran Haider M 2010 Hydrogen Bonds in Proteins: Role and Strength *Encyclopedia of Life Sciences* (Wiley-Blackwell)
- [20] Liang J, Woodward C and Edelsbrunner H 1998 Anatomy of protein pockets and cavities: Measurement of binding site geometry and implications for ligand design *Protein Sci.* 7 1884–97
- [21] Bissantz C, Kuhn B and Stahl M 2010 A Medicinal Chemist's Guide to Molecular Interactions J. Med. Chem. 53 5061–84
- [22] Chikalov I, Yao P, Moshkov M and Latombe J-C 2011 Learning probabilistic models of hydrogen bond stability from molecular dynamics simulation trajectories BMC Bioinformatics 12 S34